

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding CHATEAU GARDENS and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR-DR, FFL

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent.

The landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on February 25, 2019, the landlords personally served the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding. The landlords had a witness sign the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding. Based on the written submission of the landlords and in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant has been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on February 25, 2019.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The landlords submitted the following evidentiary material:

• A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on August 1, 2018, indicating a monthly rent of \$795.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on August 1, 2018;

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated February 4, 2019, for \$810.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of February 14, 2019;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenant's door at 3:30 pm on February 4, 2019;
- A copy of a cheque dated February 8, 2019 in the amount of \$810.00; and
- A Direct Request Worksheet and ledger showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy. The Direct Request Worksheet and ledger noted that \$810.00 of the \$810.00 identified as owing in the 10 Day Notice was paid on February 8, 2019.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant was deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on February 7, 2019, three days after its posting.

Section 46 (4) of the *Act* states that within five days of a tenant receiving the 10 Day Notice, the tenant may either pay the rent or dispute the 10 Day Notice.

I find that the fifth day for the tenant to have either paid the rent or disputed the notice was February 12, 2019. I further find that the tenant made a payment by cheque on February 8, 2019, within the five days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

The landlords have indicated on the ledger that the "Tenant has told us Feb 8 and Feb 15 cheques will go NFS" [Reproduced as written] However, I find that the landlords have not submitted any evidence to demonstrate that the payment was, in fact, returned by the bank.

I find that I am not able to determine whether the tenant paid the rent within the 5 days permitted under section 46 (4) of the Act and for this reason, the landlords' application for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent is dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the landlords were not successful in this application, I find that the landlords are not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

I dismiss the landlords' application for an Order of Possession and for unpaid rent is dismissed with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the landlords' application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 27, 2019

Residential Tenancy Branch