

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding ACONA INVESTMENTS LTD. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## DECISION

Dispute Codes MT, CNC

## Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (*"Act"*) for:

- more time to make an application to cancel the landlord's 1 Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause ("1 Month Notice"), pursuant to section 66; and
- cancellation of the landlord's 1 Month Notice, pursuant to section 47.

While the landlord's agent ("landlord") attended the hearing by way of conference call, the applicant tenant did not, although I waited until 11:11 a.m. in order to enable the tenant to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 11:00 a.m. The landlord confirmed that he was the property manager for the landlord company named in this application and that he had permission to represent it as an agent at this hearing.

The landlord confirmed receipt of the tenant's application for dispute resolution hearing package. In accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord was duly served with the tenant's application.

Rule 7.3 of the Residential Tenancy Branch Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

7.3 Consequences of not attending the hearing: If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to reapply.

In the absence of any appearance by the tenant, I order the tenant's entire application dismissed without leave to reapply.

During the hearing, I informed the landlord that pursuant to section 55 of the *Act*, if I dismissed the tenant's application to cancel a 1 Month Notice, the landlord was entitled to an order of possession if the notice met the requirements of section 52 of the *Act*.

The landlord stated that an order of possession was not required because the tenant had already vacated the rental unit on February 11, 2019. For the above reason, I do not issue an order of possession to the landlord. I informed the landlord of this during the hearing.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 14, 2019

Residential Tenancy Branch