

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding SHELTERWOOD RESOURCE MANAGEMENT LTD and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR-DR

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent.

The landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that the landlords sent the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlords provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing took place on March 29, 2019. Based on the written submissions of the landlords and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on April 3, 2019, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

#### Background and Evidence

The landlords submitted the following evidentiary material:

 A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which names a landlord who is not the applicant and was signed by the tenant on July 16, 2015, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,200.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on July 20, 2015;

Page: 2

- A copy of a Certificate of Change of Name showing that the landlord named in the tenancy agreement changed their name to the landlord who is applying for dispute resolution;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice)
  dated March 19, 2019, for \$1,200.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides
  that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or
  apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective
  vacancy date of March 29, 2019;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenant's door on March 19, 2019; and
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy.

#### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act,* I find that the tenant was deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on March 22, 2019, three days after its posting.

I find that the tenant was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,200.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under sections 46(5) and 53(2) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the 10 Day Notice, April 1, 2019.

Therefore, I find that the landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent owing for March 2019 as of March 28, 2019.

Page: 3

# Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlords effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Residential Tenancy Branch