

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSD

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the Tenants' Application for Dispute Resolution filed under the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"). The Tenants applied for the return of their security deposit. The matter was set for a conference call.

Both the Landlord and the Tenants attended the hearing and were each affirmed to be truthful in their testimony. The Landlord and the Tenants were provided with the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions at the hearing. The Tenants and the Landlord testified that they received each others documentary evidence that I have before me.

I have reviewed all evidence and testimony before me that met the requirements of the rules of procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

<u>Issues to be Decided</u>

- Has there been a breach of Section 38 of the Act by the Landlord?
- Is the Tenant entitled to the return of her security deposit?

Background and Evidence

Both parties agreed that the tenancy began on April 1, 2018, as a month to month tenancy. Rent in the amount of \$750.00 was to be paid by the first day of each month, and that the Tenant paid the Landlord a \$375.00 security deposit. It was also agreed that the Tenants moved out of the rental unit on October 31, 2018.

Page: 2

The Tenant testified that they provided the Landlord with their forwarding address by sending a letter to the Landlord by Canada Post Registered mail on November 30, 2018, and that at no time had the Landlord been given written permission to keep the deposits. The Tenants testified that no written move-in or move-out inspection had been completed for this tenancy.

The Landlord testified that he had not returned the deposits to the Tenant due to having to clean the rental unit at the end of the tenancy. The Landlord also testified that he had informed the Tenant of the reasons why he would be keeping the deposits. The Landlord testified that as of the date of this hearing he had not filed an Application for Dispute Resolution claiming against the deposit.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the testimony, the documentary evidence before me, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

Section 38(1) of the *Act* gives the landlord 15 days from the later of the day the tenancy ends or the date the landlord receives the tenant's forwarding address in writing to file an Application for Dispute Resolution claiming against the deposits or repay the security deposit and pet damage deposit to the tenant.

Return of security deposit and pet damage deposit

- **38** (1) Except as provided in subsection (3) or (4) (a), within 15 days after the later of
 - (a)the date the tenancy ends, and
 - (b) the date the landlord receives the tenant's forwarding address in writing,

the landlord must do one of the following:

- (c)repay, as provided in subsection (8), any security deposit or pet damage deposit to the tenant with interest calculated in accordance with the regulations;
- (d)make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the security deposit or pet damage deposit.

I accept the agreed upon testimony of these parties, and find that this tenancy ended on September 31, 2018, the date the Tenant moved out of the rental unit and that they

Page: 3

provided their forward address to the Landlord by mail, sent on November 30, 2018. Accordingly, the Landlord had until December 15, 2018, to comply with section 38(1) of the *Act* by either repaying the deposits in full to the Tenant or submitting an Application for Dispute resolution to claim against the deposits. The Landlords, in this case, did neither.

At no time does a landlord have the right to simply keep the security deposit because they feel they are entitled to it or are justified to keep it. If the landlord and the tenant are unable to agree, in writing, to the repayment of the security deposit or that deductions be made, the landlord <u>must</u> file an Application for Dispute Resolution within 15 days of the end of the tenancy or receipt of the forwarding address, whichever is later. It is not enough that the landlord thinks they are entitled to keep even a small portion of the deposit, based on unproven claims.

I find that the Landlord breached section 38 (1) of the *Act* by not returning the Tenants' deposits or filing a claim against the deposits within the statutory timeline.

Section 38 (6) of the *Act* goes on to state that if the landlord does not comply with the requirement to return or apply to retain the deposit within the 15 days, the landlord <u>must</u> pay the tenant double the security deposit.

Return of security deposit and pet damage deposit

38 (6) If a landlord does not comply with subsection (1), the landlord
(a)may not make a claim against the security deposit or any
pet damage deposit, and
(b)must pay the tenant double the amount of the security
deposit, pet damage deposit, or both, as applicable.

Therefore, I find that pursuant to section 38(6) of the *Act* the Tenants have successfully proven that they are entitled to the return of double their deposit. I find for the Tenant, in the amount of \$750.00, granting a monetary order for the return of double the security deposit and pet damage deposit.

Page: 4

Conclusion

I find that the Landlord breached section 38 of the *Act* when he failed to repay or make a claim against the security deposit and pet damage deposit as required by the *Act*.

I find for the Tenants pursuant to sections 38 and 72 of the *Act*. I grant the Tenants a **Monetary Order** in the amount of **\$750.00**. The Tenants are provided with this Order in the above terms, and the Landlord must be served with this Order as soon as possible. Should the Landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 4, 2019

Residential Tenancy Branch