



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNDCL, FFL

Introduction

Pursuant to section 58 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("Act"), I was designated to hear an application regarding the above-noted tenancy. The landlords applied for:

- a monetary order for compensation for damage or loss under the *Act*, *Residential Tenancy Regulation* or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application, pursuant to section 72.

The tenant did not attend this hearing, which lasted approximately 10 minutes. The two landlords (male and female) attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses.

Preliminary Issue – Service of the Landlords' Application

The male landlord testified that he served the tenant with a copy of the landlords' application for dispute resolution hearing package on January 16, 2019, by way of registered mail to the tenant's residential address in the U.S.A. The landlords provided a Canada Post receipt and tracking number with this application.

The male landlord indicated that the mail was refused by the tenant. The landlords stated that the tenant's address was provided to them over the phone on December 31, indicating that the tenant was living there with his father.

Section 89(1) of the *Act* outlines the methods of service for an application for dispute resolution, which reads in part as follows (my emphasis added):

89 (1) An application for dispute resolution ..., when required to be given to one party by another, must be given in one of the following ways:

- (a) *by leaving a copy with the person;*
- (b) *if the person is a landlord, by leaving a copy with an agent of the landlord;*
- (c) **by sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the person resides** *or, if the person is a landlord, to the address at which the person carries on business as a landlord;*
- (d) **if the person is a tenant, by sending a copy by registered mail to a forwarding address provided by the tenant;**
- (e) *as ordered by the director under section 71 (1) [director's orders: delivery and service of documents].*

Residential Tenancy Policy Guideline 12 states the following, in part (my emphasis added):

*Registered mail includes any method of mail delivery provided by Canada Post for which confirmation of delivery to a **named person** is available.*

*Proof of service by Registered Mail should include the original Canada Post Registered Mail **receipt containing the date of service, the address of service, and that the address of service was the person's residence at the time of service,** or the landlord's place of conducting business as a landlord at the time of service as well as a **copy of the printed tracking report.***

I find that the landlords did not serve the tenant with their application in accordance with section 89(1) of the *Act*. The landlords provided no documentary proof that the tenant gave them an address which was a forwarding or residential address. The landlords provided no independent witness evidence, besides themselves as interested parties in this application, that the tenant provided a residential address. The address is in a different country. The tenant did not appear at this hearing to confirm receipt of the application.

I notified the landlords that their application was dismissed with leave to reapply, except for the filing fee. I informed them that they would be required to file a new application, pay another filing fee and provide proof of service at the next hearing, if they choose to pursue this matter further.

The female landlord became upset with my decision, constantly arguing and debating the issue with me, about service. I notified her that the landlords had not met the

requirements of service, that they could reapply, and they could provide documentary proof at the next hearing.

Conclusion

The landlords' application to recover the \$100.00 filing fee is dismissed without leave to reapply.

The remainder of the landlords' application is dismissed with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 07, 2019

Residential Tenancy Branch