

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding NPR GP INC. GENERAL PARTNER FOR NPR LIMITED PART and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## <u>DECISION</u>

Dispute Codes OPUM-DR, FFL

### <u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid utilities and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 20, 2019, the landlord sent the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant will be deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on June 25, 2019, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid utilities pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid utilities pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

#### Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The landlord submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

Page: 2

 A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on June 28, 2018, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,250.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on July 1, 2018;

- Five copies of utility bills from Pacific Northern Gas for the rental unit dated September 6, 2018 for \$25.88, November 8, 2018 for \$90.28, January 10, 2019 for \$151.27, March 7, 2019 for \$234.04, and May 9, 2019 for \$145.53;
- A copy of a demand letter from the landlord to the tenant, dated April 30, 2019, requesting payment of utilities in the amount of \$644.41;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice)
  dated June 6, 2019, for \$644.41 in unpaid utilities. The 10 Day Notice provides that
  the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the utilities in full or apply
  for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy
  date of June 18, 2019;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenant's door at 2:00 pm on June 6, 2019; and
- A Direct Request Worksheet.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

Section 46(6) of the Act allows a landlord to treat unpaid utilities as unpaid rent if

- (a) a tenancy agreement requires the tenant to pay utility charges **to the landlord**, and
- (b) the utility charges are unpaid more than 30 days after the tenant is given a written demand for payment of them,

I find that the tenancy agreement does not indicate that the tenant is required to pay the utilities to the landlord.

As the tenancy does not require the tenant to pay utilities to the landlord, I find that the landlord is not entitled to end the tenancy for unpaid utilities in accordance with section 46(6) of the *Act*.

For this reason, the landlord's application to end this tenancy and obtain an Order of Possession on the basis of the 10 Day Notice dated June 6, 2019 without leave to reapply.

The 10 Day Notice dated June 6, 2019 is cancelled and of no force or effect.

Page: 3

For the same reasons listed above, the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid utilities is dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the landlord was not successful in this application, I find that the landlord is not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

## Conclusion

I dismiss the landlord's application for an Order of Possession based on the 10 Day Notice dated June 6, 2019 without leave to reapply.

The 10 Day Notice dated June 6, 2019 is cancelled and of no force or effect.

This tenancy continues until it is ended in accordance with the Act.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid utilities with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the landlord's application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 24, 2019

Residential Tenancy Branch