



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding 0781178 BC LTD
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNC

Introduction

On May 10, 2019, the Tenant applied for a Dispute Resolution proceeding seeking to cancel a One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the “Notice”) pursuant to Section 47 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “Act”).

L.C. and D.D. attended the hearing as agents for the Landlord; however, the Tenant did not appear during the 13-minute hearing.

Background and Evidence

This hearing was scheduled to commence via teleconference at 11:00 AM on June 25, 2019.

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the Arbitrator. The Arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

I dialed into the teleconference at 11:00 AM and monitored the teleconference until 11:13 AM. Only the Respondents dialed into the teleconference during this time. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I confirmed during the hearing that the Applicant did not dial in and I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the only party who had called into this teleconference was the Landlord.

Analysis

As the Applicant did not attend the hearing by 11:13 AM, I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

I note that Section 55 of the *Act* requires that when a Tenant submits an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to cancel a notice to end tenancy issued by a Landlord, I must consider if the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession if the Application is dismissed and the Landlord has issued a notice to end tenancy that that complies with the *Act*.

As the Landlord's Notice is valid and as the Tenant has not attended the hearing, I uphold the Notice and find that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Tenant's Application for Dispute Resolution without leave to reapply. Furthermore, I grant an Order of Possession to the Landlord **effective at 1:00 PM on June 30, 2019 after service of this Order** on the Tenant. Should the Tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 25, 2019

Residential Tenancy Branch