



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding VICTORIA COOL AID SOCIETY and
[tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPC

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution, made on July 12, 2019 (the "Application"). The Landlord applied for an order of possession based on an undisputed One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause, dated May 30, 2019 (the "One Month Notice"), pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act").

The Landlord was represented at the hearing by K.V., an agent, who provided affirmed testimony. The Tenant did not attend the hearing.

On behalf of the Landlord, K.V. testified the Application package was served on the Tenant in person on or about July 14, 2019, but that the Tenant refused to accept the documents. Accordingly, K.V. testified the Application package was left attached to the door of the Tenant's rental unit on that date. Pursuant to sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, documents served in this manner are deemed to be received 3 days later. I find the Tenant is deemed to have received the Application package on July 17, 2019.

K.V. was provided with an opportunity to present evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions to me. I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the Rules of Procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

Issue to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an order of possession?

Background and Evidence

A copy of the tenancy agreement between the parties was submitted into evidence by the Landlord, a not-for-profit housing society. The tenancy agreement confirms the tenancy began on May 3, 2017. The Tenant pays subsidized rent in the amount of \$375.00 per month. The Tenant paid a security deposit in the amount of \$375.00, which the Landlord holds.

The Landlord requests an order of possession based on the undisputed One Month Notice, which indicated an effective date of June 30, 2019. K.V. testified the One Month Notice was served on the Tenant in person on May 30, 2019. On behalf of the Landlord, K.V. testified he is unaware of any application for dispute resolution being filed by the Tenant. The Tenant continues to occupy the rental unit.

Analysis

Based on the affirmed oral testimony and documentary evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find:

Section 47(4) of the *Act* confirms that a tenant who receives a notice under this section has 10 days to dispute it by making an application for dispute resolution. Pursuant to section 47(5) of the *Act*, failure to do so results in the conclusive presumption that the tenant accepted the tenancy ends on the effective day of the notice and that the tenant must vacate the rental unit by that date.

In this case, I find the Landlord served the Tenant with the One Month Notice in person on May 30, 2019. Further, I find it that the Tenant did not dispute the One Month Notice by making an application for dispute resolution within 10 days after receipt, pursuant to section 47(4) of the *Act*. The Tenant continues to occupy the rental unit. Therefore, pursuant to section 47(5) of the *Act*, I find the Tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted the tenancy ended on the effective day of the One Month Notice and that the Tenant must vacate the rental unit. Therefore, I find the Landlord has demonstrated an entitlement to an order of possession, which will be effective two (2) days after service on the Tenant.

Having been successful, I also find the Landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid to make the Application, which I order may be retained from the security deposit held.

Conclusion

The Landlord is granted an order of possession, which will be effective two (2) days after it is served on the Tenant. The order may be filed in and enforced as an order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 5, 2019

Residential Tenancy Branch