

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

# Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# **DECISION**

Dispute codes OPC FF

### <u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for:

- an order of possession for cause pursuant to section 55;
- a monetary order for damage to the rental unit pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant pursuant to section 72.

The hearing was conducted by conference call. The tenant did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 11:15 a.m. to enable the tenant to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 11:00 a.m. The landlord attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to provide affirmed testimony, to present evidence and to make submissions.

The landlord testified that on July 25, 2019, a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing was sent to the tenant by registered mail. A registered mail receipt and tracking number was provided in support of service. The landlord testified that a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing was also served personally to the tenant on July 26, 2019.

Based on the above evidence, I am satisfied that the tenant was served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing pursuant to sections 89 & 90 of the Act. The hearing proceeded in the absence of the tenant.

# <u>Preliminary Issue – Scope of Application</u>

Residential Tenancy Branch Rules of Procedure, Rule 2.3 states that, if, in the course of the dispute resolution proceeding, the Arbitrator determines that it is appropriate to do so, the Arbitrator may sever or dismiss the unrelated disputes contained in a single application with or without leave to apply.

Page: 2

Aside from the application to cancel the Notice to End Tenancy, I am exercising my discretion to dismiss the remainder of the issues identified in the landlord's application with leave to reapply as these matters are not related. Leave to reapply is not an extension of any applicable time limit.

#### <u>Issues</u>

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession pursuant to a One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the One Month Notice)? Is the landlord entitled to recover its filing fee?

#### Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on August 2, 2017. The current monthly rent is \$595.00 payable on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of each month.

The landlord testified that on June 19, 2019 the One Month Notice was sent to the tenant by regular mail. The effective date of the One Month Notice was July 31, 2019.

The tenant has not vacated the rental unit as per the effective date of the Notice or filed an application to dispute the One Month Notice.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

I am satisfied that the tenant was deemed served with the One Month Notice on June 24, 2019, five days after its mailing, pursuant to sections 88 & 90 of the Act.

Section 47 of the Act contains provisions by which a landlord may end a tenancy for cause by giving a notice to end tenancy. Under this section, the tenant may make a dispute application within ten days of receiving the One Month Notice. If, as in the present case, the tenant does not make an application for dispute within ten days, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the One Month Notice.

I find that the One Month Notice complies with the requirements of Section 52 of the Act, accordingly, the landlord is granted an Order of Possession pursuant to section 55 of the Act.

Page: 3

As the landlord was successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application. The landlord is granted a monetary order for this amount.

## Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$100.00. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: September 20, 2019

Residential Tenancy Branch