

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNR, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenant filed under the Residential Tenancy Act (the "Act"), to cancel a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities (the "Notice"), issued on July 23, 2019

Only the landlord appeared, gave testimony, and were provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, make submissions at the hearing.

I have reviewed all evidence and testimony before me that met the requirements of the rules of procedure. I refer only to relevant facts and issues in this decision.

<u>Issue to be Decided</u>

Should the Notice be cancelled?

Background and Evidence

The tenant acknowledged in their application that they received the Notice on July 28, 2019. The Notice indicated that the tenant had not pay rent in the amount of \$1,700.00, due on July 1, 2019.

The landlord testified that the tenant did not pay the July 2019 rent and has paid no subsequent rent for August, September and October 2019.

Analysis

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

Landlord's notice: non-payment of rent

- 46 (1) A landlord may end a tenancy if rent is unpaid on any day after the day it is due, by giving notice to end the tenancy effective on a date that is not earlier than 10 days after the date the tenant receives the notice.
- (2) A notice under this section must comply with section 52 [form and content of notice to end tenancy].

. . .

- (4) Within 5 days after receiving a notice under this section, the tenant may
 - (a) pay the overdue rent, in which case the notice has no effect, or
 - (b) dispute the notice by making an application for dispute resolution.

Upon review of the Notice, I find the Notice is completed in accordance with the requirements of section 52 of the Act.

Under the legislation the tenant may dispute the Notice for specific reasons, such as they have proof that their rent was paid or that the tenant had the right under the Act to deduct all or a portion from their rent, such as an order from an Arbitrator.

Although the tenant filed an application for dispute resolution within the time limit permitted under the Act, I find the tenant's application had no merit as the tenant did not pay rent within 5 days after receiving the Notice. Therefore, I dismiss the tenant's application without leave to reapply.

As the tenant's application is dismissed, I find the landlord is entitled to an order of possession, pursuant to section 55 of the Act.

I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession, pursuant to section 55 of the Act, effective **two days** after service on the tenant. This order may be filed in the

Page: 3

Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court. The ${\bf tenant}$ is ${\bf cautioned}$ that

costs of such enforcement are recoverable from the tenant.

Conclusion

The tenant's application is dismissed. The landlord is granted an order of possession.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 03, 2019

Residential Tenancy Branch