



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      FFT LAT LRE

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- an Order that the landlord's right to enter be suspended or restricted, pursuant to section 70 of the *Act*;
- authorization to change the locks, pursuant to section 31 of the *Act*; and
- recovery of the filing fee pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*.

The landlord, who was the respondent in this matter, appeared at the date and time set for the hearing of this matter. The tenant, who was the applicant in this matter, did not attend this hearing, although I left the teleconference hearing connection open until 11:11 a.m. in order to enable the tenant to call into this teleconference hearing scheduled for 11:00 a.m. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the landlord and I were the only ones who had called into this teleconference.

Rule 7.3 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

**7.3 Consequences of not attending the hearing** – If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application with or without leave to reapply.

Accordingly, in the absence of any evidence or submissions from the tenant in this matter, I order the tenant's application in its entirety dismissed without liberty to reapply.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to an Order restricting the landlord's right to enter the rental unit?

Is the tenant entitled to an Order providing authorization to change the locks?

Is the tenant entitled to recover the cost of the filing fee from the landlord?

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenant's application in its entirety, without leave to reapply. I have not considered the merits of the application.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 22, 2019

---

Residential Tenancy Branch