

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes: CNC FFT FFL MNDCL-S MNRL-S OPR

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing was convened in response to cross-applications by the parties pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for Orders as follows:

The landlord requested:

- an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to section 55;
- a monetary order for compensation for unpaid rent or money owed under the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 67; and
- authorization to recover their filing fee for this application from the tenant pursuant to section 72.

The tenant requested:

- cancellation of the landlord's 1 Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the 1 Month Notice) pursuant to section 47; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord, pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*.

This hearing was originally set to deal with the tenant's application only, but it came to my attention during the hearing that the same parties had a second matter set for a hearing on December 12, 2019 to deal with the landlord's application pertaining to this same tenancy. Both parties appeared, and with their consent, both applications were dealt with today. Both parties were given a full opportunity to be heard, to present their sworn testimony, to make submissions, to call witnesses and to cross-examine one another.

Both parties confirmed receipt of each other's applications for dispute resolution hearing package ("Applications") and evidence. In accordance with sections 88 and 89 of the

Page: 2

Act, I find that both the landlord and tenant were duly served with the Applications and evidence.

RTB Rules of Procedure 2.3 states that if in the course of a dispute resolution proceeding, the Arbitrator determines that it is appropriate to do so, the Arbitrator may dismiss unrelated disputes contained in a single application with or without leave to reapply. In this regard, I find the tenants have applied for a monetary award for losses related to this tenancy. As both parties wanted more time to discuss a potential resolution in regards to the tenants' monetary application, and the tenants' monetary application is unrelated to the main section of this dispute which is to cancel the 1 Month Notice, I am dismissing the tenants' application for monetary compensation with leave to reapply.

Analysis

Pursuant to section 63 of the *Act*, the Arbitrator may assist the parties to settle their dispute and if the parties settle their dispute during the dispute resolution proceedings, the settlement may be recorded in the form of a decision or an order. During the hearing the parties discussed the issues between them, turned their minds to compromise and achieved a resolution of their dispute.

Both parties agreed to the following final and binding settlement of all issues currently under dispute at this time:

- 1. Both parties entered into a mutual agreement that this tenancy will end on November 5, 2019 at 1:15p.m., by which date the tenant and any other occupants will have vacated the rental unit.
- 2. Both parties agreed that this tenancy ends by way of their mutual agreement to end this tenancy and not on the basis of the landlord's 1 Month Notice dated September 4, 2019 or the 10 Day Notice dated October 2, 2019, which are both cancelled.
- 3. The landlord agreed to withdraw her entire application scheduled for December 12, 2019 at 11:00 a.m.
- 4. The landlord agreed that she will not seek any further monetary compensation from the tenants with regard to the October 2019 or November 2019 rent, or noise bylaw infractions.
- 5. Both parties agreed that the landlord may keep the \$550.00 security deposit paid for this tenancy.

These particulars comprise the full and final settlement of all aspects of this dispute for both parties. Both parties testified at the hearing that they understood and agreed to

Page: 3

the above terms, free of any duress or coercion. Both parties testified that they understood and agreed that the above terms are legal, final, binding and enforceable, which settle all aspects of this dispute.

Conclusion

To give effect to the settlement reached between the parties and as discussed with them during the hearing, I issue an Order of Possession to the landlord, which is to take effect by 1:15 p.m. on November 5, 2019. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenant must be served with this Order in the event that the tenant does not abide by condition #1 of the above settlement. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

The landlord's 1 Month Notice dated September 4, 2019, and the landlord's 10 Day Notice dated October 2, 2019 are both cancelled and is of no force or effect.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: November 5, 2019	
	e <u>-</u>
	Residential Tenancy Branch