

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding PEMBERTON HOLMES LTD and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

# **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPR-DR, FFL

## Introduction

The matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlords for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent.

The landlords submitted two signed Proofs of Service of the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding which declare that on December 3, 2019, the landlords sent the tenants the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlords provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipts containing the Tracking Numbers to confirm these mailings. Based on the written submissions of the landlords and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenants are deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on December 8, 2019, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

# Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the landlords entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Are the landlords entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

#### Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this section.

Page: 2

The landlords submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

• A copy of the residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord's agent and the tenants on May 29, 2019, indicating a monthly rent of \$2,200.00, due the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on May 30, 2019;

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice)
  dated November 5, 2019, for \$2,200.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice
  provides that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in
  full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated
  effective vacant date of November 19, 2019;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenant's door at "2:49" on November 5, 2019;
- A copy of a 'Use and Occupancy Receipt' which shows the amount of \$2,200.00 received from the tenants on November 19, 2019;
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing during the relevant portion of this tenancy.

#### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the Act, I find that the tenants were deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on November 8, 2019 three days after its posting.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full by November 13, 2019, within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five-day period.

I find the receipt for 'Use and Occupancy' shows the tenants paid the outstanding rent amount owed on November 19, 2019. From this evidence, I am satisfied that the landlords demonstrated to the tenants that they intended to proceed with the end of the tenancy.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the 10 Day Notice, November 19, 2019.

I find the landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession. As the landlords were successful in this application, I find that the landlords are entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

## Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlords effective **two days after the service of this Order** on the tenants. Should the tenants fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*, I grant the landlords a Monetary Order in the amount of \$100.00 for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlords are provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenants must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenants fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 09, 2019	
	Residential Tenancy Branch

-