

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> FFL MNDL-S

## **Introduction**

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "**Act**") for:

- authorization to retain all or a portion of the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary order requested pursuant to section 38;
- a monetary order for unpaid rent and for damage to the unit in the amount of \$413 pursuant to section 67; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenants pursuant to section 72.

The landlord did not attend this hearing, although I left the teleconference hearing connection open until 1:40 pm in order to enable the landlord to call into this teleconference hearing scheduled for 1:30 pm. The tenants attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the tenants and I were the only ones who had called into this teleconference.

Rule of Procedure 6.6 states:

## 6.6 The standard of proof and onus of proof

The standard of proof in a dispute resolution hearing is on a balance of probabilities, which means that it is more likely than not that the facts occurred as claimed.

Page: 2

The onus to prove their case is on the person making the claim. In most circumstances this is the person making the application.

This is the landlord's application. As such, she bears the onus to prove her claim. As she failed to attend the hearing, I find that she has failed to discharge her evidentiary burden to prove that she is entitled to the order sought. Pursuant to Rule of Procedure 7.4, she (or her agent) must attend the hearing and present her evidence for it to be considered. As this did not occur, I have not considered any of the documentary evidence submitted by the landlord to the Residential Tenancy Branch in advance of the hearing.

I dismiss her claim, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 10, 2020

Residential Tenancy Branch