

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute codes</u> OPR MNR FF / CNR

Introduction

This hearing was convened in response to cross-applications by the parties pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for Orders as follows:

Landlord:

- an order of possession for failure to pay rent pursuant to section 55;
- a monetary order for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72.

Tenant:

 cancellation of the landlord's 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for unpaid rent pursuant to section 46 (the 10 Day Notice);

The hearing was conducted by conference call. All named parties attended the hearing and were given a full opportunity to testify and present evidence. The parties confirmed service of the respective applications for dispute resolution, including the notice of hearing and evidence on file.

Preliminary Issue – Amendment to Landlord's Application

Section 64(3)(c) of the Act allows me to amend an application for dispute resolution.

At the hearing, the landlord J.K. testified that the tenant had not yet vacated the rental unit and therefore asked to amend the claim to include outstanding rent in the amount of \$1700.00 that was payable on January 1, 2020. Although the tenant did not have prior notice of this claim, I find that the tenant should reasonably have known that the landlord would suffer this loss if the tenant neither paid rent nor vacated the rental unit. I therefore allowed the landlord's request for an amendment.

Page: 2

<u>Issues</u>

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent or should the 10 Day Notice be cancelled?

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary award for unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application from the tenant?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on July 2, 2019 with a monthly rent of \$1700.00 payable on the 1st day of each month. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$850.00 at the start of the tenancy which the landlord continues to hold.

The landlord submitted a copy of a 10 Day Notice dated December 2, 2019. The 10 Day Notice indicates an outstanding rent amount of \$3400.00 which was due on December 1, 2019. The 10 Day Notice provides that the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the outstanding rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective date of the Notice.

The landlord J.K. testified that on December 2, 2019 the tenant was personally served with the 10 Day Notice. The landlord testified the outstanding rent was not paid within 5 days and no rent has been paid since.

The landlord's amended monetary claim is for outstanding rent as per below:

Item (rent)	Amount
November 2019	\$1700.00
December 2019	\$1700.00
January 2020	\$1700.00
Total Monetary Order Sought	\$5,100.00

The tenant acknowledged service of the 10 Day Notice and that he did not pay the full amount of the arrears indicated, within five days, of receiving the Notice. The tenant agreed to the amount of outstanding rent as claimed by the landlord.

The tenant testified that the rent has not been paid due to a system error with his pay from work.

Page: 3

<u>Analysis</u>

I am satisfied that the tenant was personally served with the 10 Day Notice on December 2, 2019.

Section 46 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a 10 Day Notice the tenant must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the Notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch.

Section 26 of the Act requires that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement, whether or not the landlord complies with this Act, the regulations or the tenancy agreement, unless the tenant has a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

Although the tenant filed an application for dispute resolution within the time limit permitted under the Act, I find the tenants application must be dismissed as the tenant acknowledged rent was not paid in full within 5 days after receiving the notice nor did the tenant have a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

Section 55(1) of the *Act* states that if a tenant applies to dispute a landlord's notice to end tenancy and their Application for Dispute Resolution is dismissed or the landlord's notice is upheld the landlord must be granted an order of possession if the notice complies with all the requirements of Section 52 of the *Act*.

I find that the 10 Day Notice issued by the landlord complies with the requirements of Section 52 of the Act, accordingly, the landlord is granted an Order of Possession pursuant to section 55 of the Act.

I accept the landlord's claim for outstanding rent of \$5,100.00.

As the landlord was successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application for a total monetary award of \$5,200.00.

The landlord continues to hold a security deposit of \$850.00. Although the landlord's application does not seek to retain the security deposit, using the offsetting provisions of

Page: 4

section 72 of the Act, I allow the landlord to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary award.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to a Monetary Order in the amount of \$4,350.00.

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this**Order on the tenant. Should the tenant(s) fail to comply with this Order; this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$4,350.00. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: January 21, 2020

Residential Tenancy Branch