

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding WEIDNER INVESTMENT SERVICES and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR-DR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent

The landlord submitted two signed Proofs of Service of the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding which declare that on January 23, 2020, the landlord sent each of the tenants the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipts containing the Tracking Numbers to confirm these mailings.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Analysis

In this type of matter, the landlord must prove they served the tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding with all the required inclusions as indicated on the Notice as per subsections 89 (1) and (2) of the *Act* which permit service "by sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the person resides..."

The definition of registered mail is set out in section 1 of the *Act* as "any method of mail delivery provided by Canada Post for which confirmation of delivery to a named person is available."

I find that the Tracking Numbers provided by the landlord on the Proofs of Service of the Notices of Direct Request Proceeding are for packages sent by Canada Post's Xpress Post mailing, which may or may not require a signature from the individual to confirm delivery to the person named as the respondent.

Page: 2

In this case, Canada Post's Online Tracking System shows that signatures were not required for the delivery of these Xpress Post mailings and, as such, they do not meet the definition of registered mail as defined under the *Act*.

Since I find that the landlord has not served the tenants with notice of this application in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, I dismiss the landlord's application for an Order of Possession and for unpaid rent with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

The landlord's application for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent is dismissed with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 03, 2020

Residential Tenancy Branch