

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Chestnut PLace c/o Gateway Property

Management and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNRL-S, MNDL-S, FFL

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "**Act**") for:

- authorization to retain all or a portion of the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary order requested pursuant to section 38;
- a monetary order for unpaid rent and for damage to the unit in the amount of \$1,450 pursuant to section 67; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee from the tenant pursuant to section 72.

Neither party attended at the appointed time set for the hearing, although I waited until 1:40 pm to enable them to participate in this hearing scheduled for 1:30 pm. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. During the hearing, I also confirmed from the online teleconference system that I was the only person who had called into this teleconference.

Rule of Procedure 7.3 states:

7.3 Consequences of not attending the hearing

If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

Accordingly, in the absence of any evidence or submissions, I order the application dismissed with leave to reapply. I make no findings on the merits of the matter. Leave to reapply does not extend any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

| Dated: March 12, 2020 | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| | |
| | Residential Tenancy Branch |