

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNDCT, FFT

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing was convened as a result of the Tenant's Application for Dispute Resolution ("Application") under the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("Act") for a monetary claim of \$2,822.00 for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement, and to recover the \$100.00 cost of her filing fee.

The Tenant and the Landlord appeared at the teleconference hearing and gave affirmed testimony. I explained the hearing process to the Parties and gave them an opportunity to ask questions about the hearing process. During the hearing the Tenant and the Landlord were given the opportunity to provide their evidence orally and to respond to the testimony of the other Party. I reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the Residential Tenancy Branch ("RTB") Rules of Procedure ("Rules"); however, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

Neither Party raised any concerns regarding the service of the Application for Dispute Resolution or the documentary evidence. Both Parties said they had received the Application and/or the documentary evidence from the other Party and had reviewed it prior to the hearing.

Preliminary and Procedural Matters

The Parties provided their email addresses at the outset of the hearing and confirmed their understanding that the Decision would be emailed to both Parties and any Orders sent to the appropriate Party.

Issue(s) to be Decided

- Is the Tenant entitled to a monetary order, and if so, in what amount?
- Is the Tenant entitled to recovery of the \$100.00 Application filing fee?

Page: 2

Background and Evidence

The Parties agreed that the periodic tenancy began on September 1, 2016, with a monthly rent of \$1,200.00, due on the first day of each month. The Parties agreed that the Tenant paid the Landlord a security deposit of \$600.00, and no pet damage deposit. The Parties agreed that the Landlord returned the Tenant's security deposit to her after the tenancy ended on October 31, 2017. The Tenant applied for dispute resolution on October 31, 2019.

Analysis

Based on the documentary evidence and the testimony provided during the hearing, and on a balance of probabilities, I find the following.

Section 60 of the Act states that a claim must be made within two years of the date on which the related tenancy ended.

Latest time application for dispute resolution can be made

- **60** (1) If this Act does not state a time by which an application for dispute resolution must be made, it must be made within 2 years of the date that the tenancy to which the matter relates ends or is assigned.
- (2) Despite the *Limitation Act*, if an application for dispute resolution is not made within the 2 year period, a claim arising under this Act or the tenancy agreement in relation to the tenancy ceases to exist for all purposes except as provided in subsection (3).
- (3) If an application for dispute resolution is made by a landlord or tenant within the applicable limitation period under this Act, the other party to the dispute may make an application for dispute resolution in respect of a different dispute between the same parties after the applicable limitation period but before the dispute resolution proceeding in respect of the first application is concluded.

[underlining emphasis added]

The Parties agreed in the hearing that the tenancy ended on October 31, 2017. I find that the two-year period following October 31, 2017 ended on October 30, 2019. As a result, I find that the Tenant filed her Application one day beyond the two-year time limit. I find that she filed her Application too late; I, therefore, dismiss this Application wholly without leave to reapply.

Page: 3

Conclusion

The Tenant is unsuccessful in her Application, as she filed for dispute resolution one day too late, pursuant to section 60 of the Act. I, therefore, dismiss the Tenant's Application without leave to reapply.

This Decision is final and binding on the Parties, unless otherwise provided under the Act, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 11, 2020	
	Residential Tenancy Branch