

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Heartbeat Homes LTD and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

# **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPRM-DR, FFL

#### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

In this type of matter, the landlord must prove they served the tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding with all the required inclusions as indicated on the Notice as per section 89 of the *Act* which permits service by sending a copy by registered mail to the address at which the person resides or, by sending a copy by registered mail to a forwarding address provided by the tenant.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on March 31, 2020, the landlord sent Tenant M.B. the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that Tenant M.B. is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on April 5, 2020, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on March 31, 2020, the landlord sent Tenant K.K. the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to an address that is not the rental unit. There is also no indication as to whether Tenant K.K. resides at this alternative address or whether they have provided the landlord this address for service of documents.

As I am not able to confirm service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to Tenant K.K., which is a requirement of the Direct Request process, I will only proceed with the portion of the landlord's application naming Tenant M.B. as a respondent.

Page: 2

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

# Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The landlord submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and Tenant M.B. on November 20, 2019, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,500.00, discounted by \$200.00 for the first six months of the tenancy and due on the first day of each month, for a tenancy commencing on November 20, 2019;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) dated March 5, 2020, for \$2,600.00 in unpaid rent and \$98.10 in unpaid utilities. The 10 Day Notice provides that Tenant M.B. had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of March 16, 2020;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to Tenant M.B.'s door at 3:00 pm on March 5, 2020; and
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy.

## <u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that Tenant M.B. was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,300.00, as per the tenancy agreement.

In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that Tenant M.B. was deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on March 8, 2020, three days after its posting.

Page: 3

I accept the evidence before me that Tenant M.B. has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that Tenant M.B. is conclusively presumed under sections 46(5) and 53(2) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the 10 Day Notice, March 18, 2020.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary award in the amount of \$2,600.00, the amount claimed by the landlord for unpaid rent owing for February 2020 and March 2020, as of the date of this application, March 26, 2020.

As the landlord was partially successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

## Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on Tenant M.B. Should Tenant M.B. **and any other occupant** fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$2,700.00 for rent owed for February 2020 and March 2020 and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and Tenant M.B. must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should Tenant M.B. fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I dismiss the portion of the landlord's application for a Monetary Order naming Tenant K.K. as a respondent without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 06, 2020	
	Residential Tenancy Branch