



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes ET, FFL

Introduction

On February 29, 2020, the Landlord made an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking an early end to this tenancy and an Order of Possession pursuant to Section 56 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “*Act*”) and seeking to recover the filing fee pursuant to Section 72 of the *Act*.

The Landlord did not attend the 18-minute teleconference hearing. The Tenant attended the hearing with C.A. attending as her advocate.

Background and Evidence

This hearing was scheduled to commence via teleconference at 9:30 AM on May 11, 2020.

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the Arbitrator. The Arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

I dialed into the teleconference at 9:30 AM and monitored the teleconference until 9:41 AM. Only the Respondent dialed into the teleconference during this time. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I confirmed during the hearing that the Applicant did not dial in and I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the only party who had called into this teleconference was the Landlord.

Analysis

As the Applicant did not attend the hearing by 9:41 AM, I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned. Therefore, I dismiss the Landlord's Application without leave to reapply.

Conclusion

Based on the above, I dismiss the Landlord's Application without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 11, 2020

Residential Tenancy Branch