

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for:

• authorization to obtain a return of all or a portion of the security deposit pursuant to section 38, including double the amount;

The hearing was conducted by conference call. The landlord did not attend this hearing, although I waited until 9:42 a.m. in order to enable the landlord to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:00 a.m. The tenant attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to provide affirmed testimony, to present evidence and to make submissions.

The tenant testified that on May 21, 2020, she sent a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing to the landlord by e-mail as per the Director's Order under the current Covid-19 state of emergency. An e-mail read receipt was provided in support of service.

Based on the above evidence, I am satisfied that the landlord was served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing. The hearing proceeded in the absence of the landlord.

<u>Issues</u>

Is the tenant entitled to a return of all or a portion of the security deposit, including double the amount?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy for this basement suite began on February 1, 2020 and ended on March 31, 2020. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$625.00 and a pet deposit of \$625.00 at the start of the tenancy which the landlord continues to hold.

The tenant is claiming double the security deposit arguing that the landlord failed to return the security deposit within 15 days of the date the landlord received the tenants forwarding address in writing. The tenant provided a witnessed proof of service document and copy of a letter dated April 22, 2020 as proof of service of a forwarding address. The tenant testified that the letter was sent to the landlord by regular mail on this same date.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 38 of the Act provides that when a tenancy ends, the landlord may only keep a security deposit if the tenant has, at the end of the tenancy, consented in writing, or the landlord has an order for payment which has not been paid. Otherwise, the landlord must return the deposit, with interest if payable, or make a claim in the form of an Application for Dispute Resolution. Those steps must be taken within fifteen days of the end of the tenancy, or the date the tenant provides a forwarding address in writing, whichever is later. A landlord who does not comply with this provision may not make a claim against the deposit and must pay the tenants double the amount of the security deposit, pet deposit, or both, as applicable.

I find the tenant did provide a forwarding address in writing to the landlord. The tenants' security deposit was not refunded within fifteen days of the end of the tenancy or the date a forwarding address was provided as required by section 38 of the Act. The landlord did not have written authorization to retain the security deposit or file an application to claim against the deposit within fifteen days; therefore, the doubling provisions of section 38 apply.

I allow the tenant's claim for return of the security deposit and pet deposit and award an amount of \$2500.00, which is double the original security and pet deposit of \$1250.00.

Conclusion

Pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*, I grant the tenant a Monetary Order in the amount of \$2500.00. Should the landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 16, 2020

Residential Tenancy Branch