

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

# Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

### **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSD, FFT

#### Introduction

This hearing was convened in response to an application by the Tenant pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for Orders as follows:

- 1. An Order for the return of double the security deposit Section 38; and
- 2. An Order to recover the filing fee for this application Section 72.

The Landlord did not attend the hearing. I accept the Tenant's evidence that the Landlord was served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing (the "Materials") by <u>registered mail on March 10, 2020</u> in accordance with Section 89 of the Act. Section 90 of the Act provides that a document served in accordance with section 89 of the Act is deemed to be received if given or served by mail, on the 5th day after it is mailed. Given the evidence of registered mail I find that the Landlord is deemed to have received the Materials on March 15, 2020. The Tenant was given full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Tenant entitled to return of double the security deposit? Is the Tenant entitled to recovery of the filing fee?

#### Background and Evidence

The tenancy under written agreement started on March 1, 2018 and ended March 1, 2019. At the outset of the tenancy the Landlord collected \$625.00 as a security deposit. The Tenant provided its forwarding address in writing to the Landlord on April 20, 2019.

The Landlord has not returned the security deposit and has not made an application to

claim against the security deposit. The Tenant claims \$1,250.00.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 38 of the Act provides that within 15 days after the later of the date the tenancy

ends, and the date the landlord receives the tenant's forwarding address in writing, the

landlord must repay the security deposit or make an application for dispute resolution

claiming against the security deposit. Where a landlord fails to comply with this section,

the landlord must pay the tenant double the amount of the security deposit. Based on

the Tenant's undisputed evidence of the collection of the security deposit, the provision

of its forwarding address, and the Landlord not having returned the security deposit or

having made an application to claim against the security deposit, I find that the Tenant

has substantiated an entitlement to \$1,250.00. As the Tenant's claim has been

successful, I find that the Tenant is also entitled to recovery of the \$100.00 filing fee for

a total entitlement of \$1,350.00.

Conclusion

I grant the Tenant an order under Section 67 of the Act for \$1,350.00.00. If necessary,

this order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 07, 2020

Residential Tenancy Branch