

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSDS-DR

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenants for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit (the deposit).

The tenants submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on July 15, 2020, the tenants sent the landlord the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The tenants provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the tenants and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord will be deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on July 20, 2020, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the tenants entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The tenants submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord on April 3, 2020 and one of the tenants on April 4, 2020, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,100.00 and a security deposit of \$700.00, for a tenancy commencing on July 4, 2020; and
- A copy of several e-mails exchanged between the landlord and the tenants discussing the tenancy and the tenants' request for reimbursement of the deposit.

Page: 2

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 38(1) of the *Act* states that the landlord has fifteen days from the end of tenancy and the date they received the forwarding address to either return the deposit(s) in full or make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the deposit(s).

The tenants have submitted a copy of an e-mail in which they request the return of the deposit, providing the landlord with banking information for a transfer of funds.

However, I find the tenants have not submitted any evidence to demonstrate that they provided the landlord their forwarding address in writing, which is necessary for the return of the deposit.

For this reason, the tenants' application for a Monetary Order for the return of a security deposit is dismissed with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenants' application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 17, 2020	
	Residential Tenancy Branch