

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNC, CNR, OLC, FFT

<u>Introduction</u>

I was designated to hear this matter pursuant to section 58 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*). The tenants applied for:

- cancellation of the landlord's 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice) pursuant to section 46;
- cancellation of the landlord's 1 Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the 1 Month Notice) pursuant to section 47;
- an order requiring the landlord to comply with the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 62; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlords pursuant to section 72.

Respondent PDJ (the landlord) called into this teleconference hearing at the date and time set for the hearing of this matter. The Applicants did not, although I waited until 11:11 a.m. to enable them to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 11:00 a.m. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. During the hearing, I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the landlord and I were the only persons who had called into this teleconference.

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

10.1 Commencement of the hearing The hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

Accordingly, in the absence of any attendance at this hearing by the Applicant I order the application dismissed without liberty to reapply.

Page: 2

The landlord testified that they had already obtained an Order of Possession on the basis of their 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent issued in early September (see file number above). They said that the decision and Order of Possession were issued on September 22. 2020. The landlord said that the tenants vacated the rental unit the day before this hearing and that the landlords had already taken possession of the premises. Since the landlords already have possession of this rental unit, no further action is required with respect to the tenants' application.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 01, 2020

Residential Tenancy Branch