

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNDL-S, FFL

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the Landlord under the Residential Tenancy Act (the "*Act*"), for a monetary order for compensation for damage caused by the tenant, their pets or guests to the unit, site or property, permission to retain the security deposit and pet damage deposit, and an order to recover the cost of filing the application. The matter was set for a conference call.

One of the Tenants attended the conference call hearing; however, the Landlord did not. As the Landlord is the applicants in this hearing, I find that the Landlord had been duly notified of the Notice of Hearing in accordance with the *Act*.

The Tenant was affirmed to be truthful in their testimony and was provided with the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions at the hearing.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the Rules of Procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

Issues to be Decided

- Is the Landlord entailed to a monetary order for compensation for damage caused by the tenant, their pets or guests to the unit, site or property?
- Is the Landlord entitled to retain the security deposit and pet damage deposit?
- Is the Landlord entitled to recover the cost of filing the application?

Page: 2

Background and Evidence

This hearing was scheduled for a teleconference hearing on this date.

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the Arbitrator. Rule 7.3 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that an Arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to reapply.

I called into the hearing, and the line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and the only participant who called into the hearing during this time was the Tenant. Therefore, as the Landlord did not attend the hearing by 1:41 p.m. and the Tenant appeared and was ready to proceed, I dismiss the Landlord's application without leave to reapply.

<u>Analysis</u>

I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: October 6, 2020	
	Residential Tenancy Branch