



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding CASCADIA APARTMENT RENTALS
LTD. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNRL-S, FFL

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) for:

- a monetary order for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to retain all or a portion of the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary order requested pursuant to section 38;
- authorization to recover its filing fee for this application from the tenant pursuant to section 72.

The landlord's agent (the landlord) attended the hearing via conference call and provided undisputed affirmed testimony. The tenant did not attend or submit any documentary evidence. The landlord stated that the tenant was served with the notice of hearing package and the submitted documentary evidence via Canada Post Registered Mail on July 10, 2020. I accept the undisputed affirmed evidence of the landlord and find that the tenant is deemed served as per section 90 of the Act.

Extensive discussions over a 30 minute period failed to provide the monetary claim details for the \$4,179.00 monetary claim application. A review of the landlord's monetary worksheet showed a balance sought of \$2,526.00. Despite repeated attempts by the landlord's agent, the landlord was unable to clarify the claim. On this basis, I find that the landlord's application is dismissed with leave to reapply. Leave to reapply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 06, 2020

Residential Tenancy Branch