Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord filed under the Residential Tenancy Act, (the "*Act*") to enforce a 10-day Notice to End Tenancy for unpaid rent (the "Notice") issued September 6, 2020. The matter was set for a conference call.

The Landlord attended the hearing and was affirmed to be truthful in their testimony. As the Tenant did not attend the hearing, service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing was considered. Section 59 of the *Act* states that the respondent must be served with a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing. The Landlord testified that the documents were served to the Tenant by Canada Post Registered mail, sent on October 6, 2020, a Canada post tracking number was provided as evidence of service. I find that the Tenant had been duly served in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*.

The Landlord was provided with the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions at the hearing.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the Rules of Procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

Issue to be Decided

• Is the Landlord entitled to an order of possession, pursuant to section 47 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The Landlord testified that they personally served the Notice to the Tenant on September 6, 2020. The Notice indicated an end of tenancy date of September 17, 2020.

The Landlord testified that the Tenant had not served the Landlord with an application to show they had disputed the Notice, nor had they paid the outstanding rent as indicted on the Notice.

The Landlord testified that the Tenant had not moved out in accordance with the Notice and that they are seeking an order of possession.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the testimony, the documentary evidence before me, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

Section 46 of the *Act* requires that upon receipt of a Notice to End Tenancy for Nonpayment of Rent a tenant must, within five days, either pay the amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If the tenant does not do either of these things, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice under section 46(5).

Landlord's notice: non-payment of rent

46 (1) A landlord may end a tenancy if rent is unpaid on any day after the day it is due, by giving notice to end the tenancy effective on a date that is not earlier than 10 days after the date the tenant receives the notice.
(2) A notice under this section must comply with section 52 [form and content of notice to end tenancy].

(3) A notice under this section has no effect if the amount of rent that is unpaid is an amount the tenant is permitted under this Act to deduct from rent.

(4) Within 5 days after receiving a notice under this section, the tenant may(a) pay the overdue rent, in which case the notice has no effect, or

(b) dispute the notice by making an application for dispute resolution.

(5) If a tenant who has received a notice under this section does not pay the rent or make an application for dispute resolution in accordance with subsection (4), the tenant

> (a) is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ends on the effective date of the notice, and

(b) must vacate the rental unit to which the notice relates by that date.

I accept the undisputed testimony of the Landlord, and I find that the Tenant did not pay the rent or dispute the Notice and is conclusively presumed to have accepted the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Section 55 of the *Act* states that a landlord may request an order of possession if a notice to end the tenancy has been given by the landlord and the tenant has not disputed the notice by making an application for dispute resolution and the time for making that application has expired.

Order of possession for the landlord

55 (1) If a tenant makes an application for dispute resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end a tenancy, the director must grant to the landlord an order of possession of the rental unit if

(a) the landlord's notice to end tenancy complies with section 52
[form and content of notice to end tenancy], and
(b) the director, during the dispute resolution proceeding, dismisses the tenant's application or upholds the landlord's notice.

I find that the Landlord has proven their entitlement to an order of possession. Pursuant to sections 55 of the *Act*, I grant an Order of Possession to the Landlord effective two days after service of the order on the Tenant. The Tenant is cautioned that costs of such enforcement are recoverable from the Tenant.

Conclusion

I find that the Tenant did not dispute the Notice and is therefore presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I grant an **Order of Possession** to the Landlord effective **two days** after service of this Order on the Tenant. Should the Tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 8, 2020

Residential Tenancy Branch