

Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

> A matter regarding 230715 Holdings Ltd. and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes CNR-MT, CNC

Introduction

This hearing was convened as a result of the Tenant's Application for Dispute Resolution ("Application") under the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("Act"), for more time to apply to cancel the 10 Day Notice to End the Tenancy for Unpaid Rent; and for an Order to cancel the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent dated October 9, 2020; and to cancel a One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause dated_October 9, 2020.

An agent for the Landlord, C.T. ("Agent"), appeared at the teleconference hearing and gave affirmed testimony. No one attended on behalf of the Tenant. The teleconference phone line remained open for over 30 minutes and was monitored throughout this time. The only person to call into the hearing was the Agent, who indicated that she was ready to proceed. I confirmed that the teleconference codes provided to the Parties were correct and that the only person on the call, besides me, was the Agent.

The Tenant was provided with a copy of the Notice of a Dispute Resolution Hearing on October 26, 2020; however, the Tenant did not attend the teleconference hearing scheduled for January 12, 2021 at 11:00 a.m. (Pacific Time).

Rule 7.1 of the Residential Tenancy Branch Rules of Procedure ("Rules") states that the dispute resolution hearing will commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise set by the arbitrator. The Respondent Landlord's Agent and I attended the hearing on time and were ready to proceed, and there was no evidence before me that the Parties had agreed to reschedule or adjourn the matter; accordingly, I commenced the hearing at 11:00 a.m. on January 12, 2021, as scheduled.

Rule 7.3 states that if a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the Arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party or dismiss the

application, with or without leave to reapply. The teleconference line remained open for 37 minutes, however, neither the Applicant nor an agent acting on his behalf attended to provide any evidence or testimony for my consideration. As a result, and pursuant to Rule 7.3 and section 62 of the Act, I **dismiss the Tenant's Application without leave to reapply**.

Conclusion

The Tenant's Application is dismissed without leave to reapply, as the Tenant or an Agent for the Tenant did not attend the hearing to present the merits of the Application. The Respondent Landlord's Agent did attend the hearing.

This Decision does not extend any applicable time limits under the Act.

This Decision will be emailed to the address provided by the Agent during the hearing and to the email address provided by the Tenant in the Application.

This Decision is final and binding on the Parties, except as otherwise provided under the Act, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 14, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch