

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSDS-DR

#### <u>Introduction</u>

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenants for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit (the deposit).

The tenants submitted one signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on December 15, 2020, the tenants sent the landlords the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the tenants entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

#### **Analysis**

In an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, the onus is on the tenant to ensure that all submitted evidentiary material is in accordance with the prescribed criteria and that such evidentiary material does not lend itself to ambiguity or give rise to issues that may need further clarification beyond the purview of a Direct Request Proceeding. If the tenant cannot establish that all documents meet the standard necessary to proceed via the Direct Request Proceeding, the application may be found to have deficiencies that necessitate a participatory hearing, or, in the alternative, the application may be dismissed.

In this type of matter, the tenants must prove they served the landlords with the Notice of Direct Request proceeding with all the required inclusions as indicated on the Notice as per section 89 of the *Act*.

On the Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding, the tenants have indicated they sent the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the landlords by registered mail. However, I find that the tenants have not provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipts containing the Tracking Numbers to confirm these mailings.

Page: 2

As I am not able to confirm service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to each of the landlords, which is a requirement of the Direct Request process, the tenants' application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit is dismissed with leave to reapply.

# Conclusion

I dismiss the tenants' application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 06, 2021	
	@
	Residential Tenancy Branch