

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDB-DR, FFT

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenants for a Monetary Order for the return of double the security deposit and the pet damage deposit (the deposits).

The tenants submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on December 31, 2020, the tenants sent the landlord the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The tenants provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the tenants and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on January 5, 2021, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the tenants entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit and a pet damage deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Are the tenants entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Page: 2

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The tenants submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord on October 15, 2019, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,600.00, a security deposit of \$675.00, and a pet damage deposit of \$675.00, for a tenancy commencing on December 1, 2019;
- A copy of a Tenant's Notice of Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit (the forwarding address) dated December 8, 2020;
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Tenant Forwarding Address for the Return
 of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit form (Proof of Service of the Forwarding
 Address) which indicates that the forwarding address was posted to the landlord's
 door at 6:45 pm on December 8, 2020; and
- A copy of a Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet showing the amount of deposits paid by the tenants and indicating the tenancy ended on November 30, 2020.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord was deemed served with the forwarding address on December 11, 2020, three days after its posting.

Section 38(1) of the *Act* states that within fifteen days of the tenancy ending and the landlord receiving the forwarding address, the landlord may either repay the deposits or make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the deposits.

I find that the fifteenth day for the landlord to have either returned the deposits or filed for dispute resolution was December 26, 2020.

Page: 3

I find that the tenants applied for dispute resolution on December 24, 2020, before the

landlord's fifteen days to comply with the provisions of section 38(1) of the Act.

I find that the tenants made their application for dispute resolution too early.

Therefore, the tenants' application for a Monetary Order for the return of double the

security deposit and the pet damage deposit is dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the tenants were not successful in this application, I find that the tenants are not

entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenants' application for a Monetary Order for the return of double the

security deposit and pet damage deposit with leave to reapply.

I dismiss the tenants' application to recover the filing fee paid for this application without

leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: January 19, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch