

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> LRE, OLC

Introduction

Pursuant to section 58 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act), I was designated to hear an application regarding the above-noted tenancy. The tenant applied for:

- an order to restrict or suspend the landlord's right of entry, under section 70; and
- an order for the landlord to comply with the Act, the Residential Tenancy Regulation and/or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 62.

I left the teleconference connection open until 9:42 A.M. to enable the landlord to call into this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:30 A.M. The landlord did not attend the hearing. The tenant attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the tenant and I were the only ones who had called into this teleconference.

At the outset of the hearing the tenant affirmed he moved out on November 29, 2020.

The application for an order to restrict or suspend the landlord's right of entry and for an order for the landlord to comply with the Act is moot since the tenancy has ended.

Section 62(4)(b) of the Act states an application should be dismissed if the application or part of an application for dispute resolution does not disclose a dispute that may be determined under the Act. I exercise my authority under section 62(4)(b) of the Act to dismiss the tenant's application.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenant's application in its entirety.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 02, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch