



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding VANCOUVER NATIVE HOUSING  
SOCIETY and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPR-DR, OPRM-DR, FFL

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “*Act*”) and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the Landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order based on unpaid rent, and an order granting recovery of the filing fee.

The Landlord submitted signed Proof of Service - Notice of Direct Request Proceeding documents which declare that the Landlord served each of the Tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail on January 14, 2021. The Landlord submitted Canada Post receipts with a Tracking Number in support. Based on the written submissions and evidence of the Landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find the Tenants are deemed to have received these documents on January 19, 2021, five days after they were mailed.

### Issues to be Decided

1. Is the Landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?
2. Is the Landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?
3. Is the Landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

### Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The Landlord submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the Landlord and the Tenants on April 8, 1994 for a tenancy commencing on the same date;
- A copy of an Application for Rent Subsidy concerning rent due for the period from April 1, 2020 to September 30, 2020, establishing rent for this period in the amount of \$1,956.00 per month (the “First Application”);
- A copy of a letter from the Landlord to the Tenants dated November 20, 2020 confirming the amount of rent due based on the First Application, and indicating an outstanding balance of \$7,716.00;
- A copy of an Application for Rent Subsidy concerning rent due for the period from October 1, 2020 to March 31, 2021, establishing rent for this period in the amount of \$2,276.00 per month (the “Second Application”);
- A copy of a letter from the Landlord to the Tenants dated November 20, 2020 confirming the amount of rent due based on the Second Application, and indicating an outstanding balance of \$2,276.00;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities dated December 18, 2020 for \$12,268.00 in unpaid rent (the “10 Day Notice”). The 10 Day Notice provides that the Tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date of December 31, 2020;
- A copy of a signed Proof of Service - Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was served on the Tenants by attaching a copy to the door or other conspicuous place on December 18, 2020, which service was witnessed by J.D.; and
- A copy of a Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy.

### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the Tenants were obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amounts of \$1,956.00 for the period from April 1, 2020 to September 30, 2020, and \$2,276.00 for the period from October 1, 2020 to March 31, 2021 as per the First Application and the Second Application.

In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the Tenants are deemed to have received the 10 Day Notice on December 21, 2020, three days after it was attached to the Tenants' door or other conspicuous place.

I accept the evidence before me that the Tenants failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days after receipt of the 10 Day Notice granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenants are conclusively presumed under sections 46(5) and 53(2) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on December 31, 2020, the effective date of the 10 Day Notice.

Therefore, I find the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession which will be effective two days after it is served on the Tenants.

With respect to the Landlord's claim for unpaid rent, I find the Landlord has demonstrated an entitlement to a monetary award for unpaid rent in the amount of \$12,268.00 to December 31, 2020, as set out on the Direct Request Worksheet.

As the Landlord is successful, I find they are also entitled to a monetary award in the amount of \$100.00 in recovery of the filing fee paid to make the application.

### Conclusion

The Landlord is granted an order of possession which will be effective two days after it is served on the Tenants. The order of possession may be filed and enforced as an order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

The Landlord is granted a monetary order in the amount of \$12,368.00 for unpaid rent and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The monetary order must be served on the Tenants. The monetary order may be filed in and enforced as an order of the Provincial Court of British Columbia (Small Claims).

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 3, 2021

---

Residential Tenancy Branch