

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding HILDON HOLDINGS and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy] **DECISION**

Dispute Codes ET, FFL

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with the Landlord's Application filed under the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*") for an early end of tenancy pursuant to section 56 of the *Act* and to recover the cost of filing the application from the Tenant. The matter was set for a conference call.

The Landlord's Agent (the "Landlord") attended the hearing and was affirmed to be truthful in their testimony. As the Tenant did not attend the hearing, service of the Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing documentation was considered. Section 59 of the *Act* and the Residential Tenancy Branch Rules of Procedure states that the respondent must be served with a copy of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing. The Landlord testified the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing had been posted to the front door of the Tenant's rental unit on March 4, 2021; a proof of service form was submitted into documentary evidence by the Landlord. I find that the Tenant has been duly served in accordance with the *Act*.

The Landlord was provided with the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form and to make submissions at the hearing.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the Rules of Procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

Issues to be Decided

- Is the Landlord entitled to an early end of tenancy and an Order of Possession under section 56 of the *Act*?
- Is the Landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Page: 2

Background and Evidence

The Landlord testified that on January 27, 2021, the Tenant had attempted to break into another rental unit. The Landlord submitted a 2 minute and nine-second video of the incident captured by the building surveillance system into documentary evidence.

The Landlord testified that they were informed of the incident by staff the following day and that they called the police immediately to report the event. The Landlord testified that the police attended the property on January 28, 2021, but that because the Tenant's attempt to break-in to the other unit was unsuccessful, they could not be charged.

The Landlord testified that they investigated what their options were to end the tenancy and filed for this hearing on February 19, 2021.

Analysis

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

Section 56 of the *Act* establishes the grounds whereby a landlord may make an application for dispute resolution to request an Early End to Tenancy and an Order of Possession on a date that is earlier than the tenancy would end if notice to end the tenancy were given under section 47 of the *Act* for a landlord's notice for cause.

In order to end a tenancy early and issue an Order of Possession under section 56, a landlord has the burden of proving that:

- There is sufficient cause to end the tenancy such as; unreasonably disturbed another occupant, seriously jeopardized the health, or safety, or a lawful right, or interest of the landlord, engaged in illegal activity, or put the landlord's property at significant risk; and
- That it would be unreasonable or unfair to the landlord or other occupants to wait for a one-month notice to end tenancy for cause under section 47 of the Act to take effect.

In this case, while the Tenants conduct may have been disturbing to others, I find the circumstance of this case are not so significant or severe that it would have been unreasonable for the Landlord to have to wait for a One Month Notice to take effect if

Page: 3

there was sufficient cause to end the tenancy. Therefore, I find that the Landlord has fallen short of the standard required to obtain an early end of tenancy under section 56 of the *Act*.

Therefore, I dismiss the Landlord's application for an early end of tenancy under section 56 of the *Act*, as I find it neither unreasonable nor unfair that the Landlord would need to wait for a One Month Notice to take effect and for the required hearing process under that notice.

Section 72 of the *Act* gives me the authority to order the repayment of a fee for an application for dispute resolution. As the Landlord has not been successful in their application, I find that the Landlord is not entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this hearing.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Landlord's application for an early end of tenancy and to recover their application fee. This tenancy continues until ended in accordance with the *Act*.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: March 18, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch