

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> FFT, OLC, MNDCT, LRE, CNC

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- cancellation of the landlord's 1 Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause (the One Month Notice) pursuant to section 47;
- a monetary order for compensation for damage or loss under the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 67;
- an order requiring the landlord to comply with the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 62;
- an order to suspend or set conditions on the landlord's right to enter the rental unit pursuant to section 70; and
- authorization to recover his filing fee for this application from the landlord pursuant to section 72.

Both parties attended the hearing and were given a full opportunity to be heard, to present their sworn testimony and to make arguments. The parties confirmed that they had exchanged their documentation.

Preliminary Issue- Severance

Residential Tenancy Branch (RTB) Rule of Procedure 2.3 states that claims made in an Application for Dispute Resolution must be related to each other. Arbitrators may use their discretion to dismiss unrelated claims with or without leave to reapply.

It is my determination that the priority claims regarding the One Month Notice and the continuation of this tenancy are not sufficiently related to the tenant's monetary claims to warrant that they be heard together. The parties were given a priority hearing date in order to address the question of the validity of the Notice to End Tenancy.

Page: 2

The tenant's monetary claim is unrelated in that the basis for them rests largely on facts not germane to the question of whether there are facts which establish the grounds for ending this tenancy as set out in the Notice to End Tenancy. I exercise my discretion to dismiss the tenant's monetary claim with leave to reapply. All other issues have been considered in making this decision.

Issues(s) to be Decided

Should the landlord's One Month Notice be cancelled? If not, is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the tenant entitled to an order compelling the landlord to comply with the Act, regulation, or tenancy agreement?

Should conditions be placed on the landlord's entry into the unit? Is the tenant entitled to the recovery of the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The landlord gave the following testimony. The tenancy began on May 25, 2018 with the rent of \$1400.00 due on the first of each month. The landlord issued a One Month Notice to End Tenancy for Cause on February 1, 2021 for the following reasons:

Landlord's notice: cause

- **47** (1) A landlord may end a tenancy by giving notice to end the tenancy if one or more of the following applies:
 - (b) the tenant is repeatedly late paying rent;
 - (d) the tenant or a person permitted on the residential property by the tenant has
 - (i) significantly interfered with or unreasonably disturbed another occupant or the landlord of the residential property,
 - (ii) seriously jeopardized the health or safety or a lawful right or interest of the landlord or another occupant, or
 - (iii) put the landlord's property at significant risk;
 - (e) the tenant or a person permitted on the residential property by the tenant has engaged in illegal activity that

Page: 3

- (i) has caused or is likely to cause damage to the landlord's property,
- (ii) has adversely affected or is likely to adversely affect the quiet enjoyment, security, safety or physical wellbeing of another occupant of the residential property, or

JM testified that the tenant has been late in paying the rent for the following months: November 2020, December 2020, January 2021 and March 2021. JM testified that the tenant's own receipts reflect the late payments and that he should be entitled to an order of possession. JM submits that the tenant does not pay in advance as she claims but pays for the "month of" as opposed to "in advance of".

The tenant gave the following testimony. The tenant agreed that she was late in paying the rent for three of the months as claimed but submits that the landlord has been harassing her to move out so she didn't feel she should have to pay the rent for March. The tenant testified that she is actively looking and if given enough time she will move out.

<u>Analysis</u>

When a landlord issues a notice to end tenancy, they bear the burden of providing sufficient evidence to support the issuance of the Notice. The landlord needs only demonstrate that one of the reasons identified in the One Month Notice is valid in order to end a tenancy for cause.

In this case, the landlord has submitted undisputed evidence that the tenant paid rent late on at least three occasions since November 2020. Although the tenant testified that she paid the rent on time for November, I find that the landlords documentation states otherwise. The landlord's documentation clearly shows a late payment for November 2020, December 2020, January 2021 and again for March 2021; despite the tenant being served a notice in February 2021. The tenant's pattern did not change despite being served a notice for that reason.

Residential Tenancy Policy Guideline #38 provides the following guidance regarding the circumstances whereby a landlord may end a tenancy where the tenant is repeatedly late paying rent.

Three late payments are the minimum number sufficient to justify a notice under these provisions...

Page: 4

However, if the late payments are far apart an arbitrator may determine that, in the circumstances, the tenant cannot be said to be "repeatedly" late...

There is clear evidence that the written tenancy agreement requires the tenant to pay all of the rent by the first of each month. The evidence presented indicates that the tenant has been late in paying their rent on at least three occasions and has still not paid her March 2021 rent in full, 22 days after it was due. For these reasons, I am satisfied that there is a recurring pattern of late payment of rent during this tenancy and that the landlord had adequate grounds to issue the One Month Notice for the tenant's late payment of rent. As section 47 of the *Act* only requires that one of the reasons cited in a One Month Notice are valid, I have not considered the landlord's other reasons for seeking an end to this tenancy.

Section 55 of the *Act* reads in part as follows:

- **55** (1) If a tenant makes an application for dispute resolution to dispute a landlord's notice to end a tenancy, the director must grant to the landlord an order of possession of the rental unit if
 - (a) the landlord's notice to end tenancy complies with section 52 [form and content of notice to end tenancy], and
 - (b) the director, during the dispute resolution proceeding, dismisses the tenant's application or upholds the landlord's notice.

I find that the landlord's One Month Notice was issued on the correct form and included all of the required information in order to comply with section 52 of the *Act* as to the form and content of that Notice. I dismiss the tenant's application to cancel the One Month Notice and issue the landlord an Order of Possession in accordance with section 55(1) of the *Act*.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenants monetary claim with leave to reapply and dismiss the remainder of the tenant's application without leave to reapply. I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 22, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch