

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> FFT, CNR, CNL-MT, OLC, MNDCT, RP, LRE, PSF

## <u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with the tenant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- more time to cancel a Notice to End Tenancy, pursuant to section 66;
- cancellation of the Two Month Notice to End Tenancy for Landlord's Use of Property, pursuant to section 49;
- cancellation of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent, pursuant to section 46:
- a Monetary Order for damage or compensation under the *Act*, pursuant to section 67:
- an Order that the landlord's right to enter be suspended or restricted, pursuant to section 70;
- an Order directing the landlord to comply with the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 62;
- an Order for regular repairs, pursuant to section 32;
- an Order to provide services or facilities required by the tenancy agreement or law, pursuant to section 65; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application from the landlord, pursuant to section 72.

The landlord did not attend this hearing, although I left the teleconference hearing connection open until 11:10 a.m. in order to enable the landlord to call into this teleconference hearing scheduled for 11:00 a.m. The tenant attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the

Page: 2

teleconference system that the tenant and I were the only ones who had called into this teleconference.

The tenant testified that she served the landlord with this application for dispute resolution on January 9, 2021 via registered mail. The tenant provided the tracking number for this mailing verbally in the hearing. The tracking number is located on the cover page of this decision. The Canada Post website states that this package was mailed on January 9, 2021 and delivered on January 15, 2021. I find that the above package was served on the landlord is accordance with section 89 of the *Act*.

At the start of this hearing the tenant testified that she wished to withdraw this application for dispute resolution and that this tenancy has ended. As this tenancy has ended and the landlord did not attend, I find that no further action is required with respect to the application. The tenant's application is therefore dismissed with leave to reapply.

I make no findings on the merits of the matter. Liberty to reapply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: March 30, 2021	
	Residential Tenancy Branch