



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDS-DR, FFT

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenants for a Monetary Order for the return of double the security deposit (the deposit).

The tenants submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on March 12, 2021, the tenants sent the landlord the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The tenants provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the tenants and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on March 17, 2021, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the tenants entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Are the tenants entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Background and Evidence

The tenants submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenants on June 8, 2020, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,870.00 and a security deposit of \$912.50, for a tenancy commencing on July 1, 2020
- A copy of a notice to vacate which was signed by the tenants on December 31, 2020, indicating the tenancy would end as of January 31, 2021 and providing the forwarding address for the return of the deposit

- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Tenant Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit form (Proof of Service of the Forwarding Address) which indicates that the forwarding address was placed in the landlord's mailbox or mail slot at 3:00 pm on December 31, 2020
- A copy of a Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet showing the amount of deposit paid by the tenants and indicating the tenancy ended on January 31, 2021

Analysis

Section 38(1) of the *Act* states that the landlord has fifteen days from the end of tenancy and the date they received the forwarding address to either return the deposit(s) in full or make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the deposit(s).

Section 38(6) of the *Act* states that if the landlord does not return the deposit(s) or file a claim against them within the fifteen days, the landlord must pay the tenant double the amount of the deposit(s).

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the tenants paid a security deposit in the amount of \$912.50, as per the tenancy agreement.

I accept the following declarations made by the tenants on the Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet:

- The tenants have not provided consent for the landlord to keep all or part of the deposit
- There are no outstanding Monetary Orders against the tenants for this tenancy
- The tenants have not extinguished their right to the deposit in accordance with sections 24(1) and 36(1) of the *Act*

In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord was deemed served with the forwarding address on January 3, 2021, three days after it was placed in the mailbox or mail slot.

I accept the tenant's statement on the Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet that the tenancy ended on January 31, 2021, the effective date of the notice to vacate.

I accept the evidence before me that the landlord has failed to return the deposit to the tenants and has not filed an Application for Dispute Resolution requesting to retain the deposit by February 15, 2021, within the fifteen days granted under section 38(1) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the landlord must pay the tenants double the amount of the security deposit in accordance sections 38(6) of the *Act*.

Therefore, as of the date of this application, February 28, 2021, I find that the tenants are entitled to a monetary award in the amount of \$1,825.00, the amount claimed by the tenants for double the security deposit.

As the tenants were successful in this application, I find that the tenants are entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Conclusion

Pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the *Act*, I grant the tenants a Monetary Order in the amount of \$1,925.00 for the return of double the security deposit and for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The tenants are provided with this Order in the above terms and the landlord must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the landlord fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 25, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch