

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPRM-DR, OPR-DR

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with the adjourned Direct Request Application by the Landlord filed under the Residential Tenancy Act (the "*Act*") for an order of possession to enforce a 10-Day Notice for Unpaid Rent (the Notice) issued on November 30, 2020, and a monetary order for unpaid rent. The matter was set for a conference call.

The Tenants attended the conference call hearing; however, the Landlord did not. As the Landlord is the applicants in this hearing, I find that the Landlord had been duly notified of the Notice of Hearing in accordance with the *Act*.

The Tenants were affirmed to be truthful in their testimony and were provided with the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions at the hearing.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the Rules of Procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision

Issues to be Decided

- Is the Landlord entitled to an order of possession?
- Is the Landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

This hearing was scheduled for a teleconference hearing on this date.

Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that the hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the Arbitrator. Rule 7.3 of the Rules of Procedure stipulates that an Arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to reapply.

This hearing was scheduled to commence at 11:00 a.m. on April 13, 2021. I called into the teleconference at 11:00 a.m., the line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and the only participant who called into the hearing during this time were the Tenants. Therefore, as the Landlord did not attend the hearing by 11:11 a.m. and the Tenants appeared and were ready to proceed, I dismiss the Landlord's application without leave to reapply.

<u>Analysis</u>

I find that the Application for Dispute Resolution has been abandoned.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: April 13, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch