

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> CNR, OLC

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenants' application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("*Act*") for:

- cancellation of the landlord's Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities ("10 Day Notice"), pursuant to section 46; and
- an order requiring the landlord to comply with the *Act, Residential Tenancy Regulation* or tenancy agreement, pursuant to section 62.

The two applicant tenants did not attend this hearing, which lasted approximately 10 minutes. The respondent landlord attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses.

The landlord confirmed that she was the owner of the rental unit. She stated that she received a copy of the tenants' application for dispute resolution hearing package. In accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord was duly served with the tenants' application.

<u>Preliminary Issue – Dismissal of Tenants' Application</u>

Rule 7.3 of the Residential Tenancy Branch *Rules of Procedure* provides as follows:

7.3 Consequences of not attending the hearing: If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to reapply.

Page: 2

In the absence of any appearance by the tenants, I order the tenants' entire application

dismissed without leave to reapply.

<u>Analysis</u>

Pursuant to section 55 of the *Act*, if I dismiss the tenants' application to cancel a 10 Day

Notice, the landlord is entitled to an order of possession, provided that the notice meets

the requirements of section 52 of the Act.

The landlord stated that she did not require an order of possession against the tenant

because the tenant already vacated the rental unit and the landlord took back

possession of the rental unit.

Accordingly, I notified the landlord that I would not issue an order of possession to her,

against the tenants. The landlord confirmed her understanding and agreement to same.

Conclusion

The tenants' entire application is dismissed without leave to reapply.

The landlord is not entitled to an order of possession against the tenants.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: April 15, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch