



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDS-DR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenant for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit (the deposit).

The tenant submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on March 25, 2021, the tenant served the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the landlord in person and by e-mail.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

Analysis

In this type of matter, the tenant must prove they served the landlord with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding with all the required inclusions as indicated on the Notice as per section 89 of the *Act*.

The tenant has indicated on the Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding that they personally served the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the landlord. However, I find there is no signature of a witness, or of the person who received the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to confirm personal service.

For this reason, I find I am not able to confirm in-person service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the landlord.

The tenant has also indicated that they sent the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the landlord by e-mail.

Section 89 of the *Act* provides that a Notice of Direct Request Proceeding may be served "*by any other means of service provided for in the regulations.*"

Section 43(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Regulation* provides that documents “*may be given to a person by emailing a copy to an email address **provided as an address for service by the person.***”

I find the tenant has not submitted any evidence or documentation to demonstrate that the landlord indicated documents could be served by e-mail.

I find the tenant has not demonstrated that the landlord's e-mail address was provided for service of documents, as required by section 43(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Regulation*.

Therefore, I find I am not able to confirm e-mail service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding to the landlord.

For these reasons, the tenant's application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit is dismissed with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenant's application for a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 15, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch