



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding PRP HOLDINGS LTD.
and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNDCT

Introduction and preliminary matters

On December 30, 2020, the Tenant made an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking a Monetary Order for compensation pursuant to Section 67 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “Act”).

The Tenant attended the hearing late, at 1:34 PM. However, none of the Respondents attended at any point during the 18-minute teleconference. At the outset of the hearing, I advised the Tenant that recording of the hearing was prohibited. He was reminded to refrain from doing so and he acknowledged this term. All parties in attendance provided a solemn affirmation.

The Tenant advised that he did not serve a separate Notice of Hearing package to each Respondent in accordance with Rule 3.1 of the Rules of Procedure. In addition, the packages were made available to the Tenant, by the Residential Tenancy Branch, on January 13, 2021; however, he advised that he served only one Notice of Hearing package to the three Respondents, on January 25, 2021. This also did not comply with the timeframe service requirements of Rule 3.1 of the Rules of Procedure. As the Tenant did not comply with the Rules of Procedure and serve each Respondent a separate Notice of Hearing package within three days of January 13, 2021, I dismiss this Application with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I dismiss the Application for Dispute Resolution with leave to reapply; however, this does not extend any applicable time limits under the legislation. I have not made any findings of fact or law with respect to the Application.

This Decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 14, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch