

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSDS-DR

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 38.1 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the tenant for a Monetary Order for the return of double the security deposit (the deposit).

The tenant submitted a signed Proof of Service Tenant's Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on April 28, 2021, the tenant sent the landlord the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The tenant provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm this mailing. Based on the written submissions of the tenant and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on May 3, 2021, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to monetary compensation for the return of a security deposit pursuant to sections 38 and 67 of the *Act*?

#### Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The tenant submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

• A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord on March 31, 2020 and the tenant on March 26, 2020, indicating a monthly rent of \$6,995.00, increased to \$7,150.00 and a security deposit of \$3,497.00, for a tenancy commencing on April 1, 2020

- A copy of a notice to move out, dated February 23, 2021, indicating the tenancy would end as of March 31, 2021 and providing the forwarding address for the return of the deposit
- A copy of a Proof of Service Tenant Forwarding Address for the Return of Security and/or Pet Damage Deposit form (Proof of Service of the Forwarding Address) which indicates that the move out notice with forwarding address was sent to the landlord by registered mail at 12:14 pm on February 23, 2021
- A copy of a Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the Tracking Number to confirm the forwarding address was sent to the landlord on February 23, 2021
- A copy of a Tenant's Direct Request Worksheet showing the amount of deposit paid by the tenant and indicating the tenancy ended on March 31, 2021

#### <u>Analysis</u>

Section 38(1) of the *Act* states that within fifteen days of the tenancy ending and the landlord receiving the forwarding address, the landlord may either repay the deposits or make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the deposits.

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and in accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord was deemed served with the forwarding address on February 28, 2021, five days after its registered mailing.

I find that the tenancy ended on March 31, 2021, the effective date of the tenant's notice to move out.

I find that the fifteenth day for the landlord to have either returned the deposit or filed for dispute resolution was April 15, 2021.

However, section 90 of the *Act* states that a document sent by regular or registered mail is deemed received on the fifth day after it was sent. If the landlord sent the deposit by mail on their last day, the tenant may not have received the deposit until April 20, 2021.

I find that the tenant applied for dispute resolution on April 16, 2021, before they could have known whether the landlord complied with the provisions of section 38(1) of the *Act*, and that the earliest date the tenant could have applied for dispute resolution was April 21, 2021.

I find that the tenant made their application for dispute resolution too early.

Therefore, the tenant' application for a Monetary Order for the return of double the security deposit is dismissed with leave to reapply.

### **Conclusion**

I dismiss the tenant's application for a Monetary Order for the return of double the security deposit with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 11, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch