



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      OPR-DR

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a copy of two Canada Post Customer Receipts containing tracking numbers to confirm packages were sent to the tenants by registered mail.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

### Analysis

In an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, the onus is on the landlord to ensure that all submitted evidentiary material is in accordance with the prescribed criteria and that such evidentiary material does not lend itself to ambiguity or give rise to issues that may need further clarification beyond the purview of a Direct Request Proceeding. If the landlord cannot establish that all documents meet the standard necessary to proceed via the Direct Request Proceeding, the application may be found to have deficiencies that necessitate a participatory hearing, or, in the alternative, the application may be dismissed.

In this type of matter, the landlord must prove they served the tenant with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Hearing – Direct Request and all documents in support of the application in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*. Policy Guideline #39 on Direct Requests provides the following requirements:

*“After the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding Package has been served to the tenant(s), the landlord must complete and submit to the Residential Tenancy Branch a Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding (form RTB-44) for each tenant served.”*

I note that the landlord submitted a copy of two Canada Post Customer Receipts containing tracking numbers to confirm packages were sent to the tenants by registered mail.

However, I find the landlord has not provided a copy of the Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding forms which are a requirement of the Direct Request process as detailed in Policy Guideline #39. In their place, I find the landlord has submitted duplicate copies of the Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy forms discussing service of the 10 Day Notice to the tenants.

For this reason, the landlord's application for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent is dismissed with leave to reapply.

### Conclusion

I dismiss the landlord's application for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 19, 2021

---

Residential Tenancy Branch