

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR-DR, OPRM-DR, FFL

Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on unpaid rent and a Monetary Order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on May 6, 2021, the landlord sent the tenant the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail to the rental unit. The landlord provided a copy of the Canada Post Customer Receipt containing the tracking number to confirm this mailing in fact took place on May 7, 2021. Based on the written submissions of the landlord and in accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant is deemed to have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on May 12, 2021, the fifth day after their registered mailing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46 and 55 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent pursuant to section 67 of the *Act*?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee for this application pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*?

Page: 2

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed all written submissions and evidence before me; however, only the evidence and submissions relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

The landlord submitted the following relevant evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenant on April 17, 2018, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,100.00, due on the first day of each month for a tenancy commencing on May 1, 2018
- A copy of a Notice of Rent Increase forms showing the rent being increased from \$1,100.00 to the monthly rent amount of \$1,125.00
- A copy of a repayment agreement dated September 2, 2020 indicating the tenant would be responsible for repayment of affected rent in monthly installments of \$200 starting on October 1, 2020
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the 10 Day Notice)
 dated April 9, 2021, for \$6,450.00 in unpaid rent. The 10 Day Notice provides that
 the tenant had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for
 Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on the stated effective vacancy date
 of April 19, 2021
- A copy of a witnessed Proof of Service Notice to End Tenancy form which indicates that the 10 Day Notice was posted to the tenant's door at 10:20 am on April 13, 2021
- A Direct Request Worksheet showing the rent owing and paid during the relevant portion of this tenancy. The Direct Request Worksheet noted that \$1,200.00 of the \$6,450.00 identified as owing in the 10 Day Notice was paid on April 23, 2021

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the tenant was obligated to pay the monthly rent in the amount of \$1,125.00, as per the tenancy agreement and the Notice of Rent Increase.

In accordance with sections 88 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the tenant was deemed served with the 10 Day Notice on April 16, 2021, three days after its posting.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the *Act* and did not dispute the 10 Day Notice within that five-day period.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under sections 46(5) and 53(2) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the corrected effective date of the 10 Day Notice, April 26, 2021.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent as of the date of this application, April 27, 2021.

Policy Guideline #52 provides that the "date of the first installment must be at least 30 days after the date the repayment plan is given by the landlord to the tenant..."

I find that the repayment agreement submitted by the landlord was signed by the tenant on September 2, 2020 for repayments beginning on October 1, 2020. However, to allow for the 30-day requirement, I find that the first installment wouldn't be due until November 1, 2020.

I find that the landlord did not provide the tenant the 30 days required in accordance with Policy Guideline #52 and the *C19 Act* and for this reason the landlord did not have the authority to include affected rent on the 10 Day Notice.

I also note that the Direct Request Worksheet indicates the tenant made a payment of \$1,200.00 on April 23, 2021, which was applied to the \$1,125.00 rent owing for April 2021. However, I find the landlord has not indicated whether the overpayment of \$75.00 was applied towards the rent owing from September 2020 to March 2021or if a portion was applied to the affected rent arrears.

I find I am not able to confirm which portion of the landlord's monetary claim is comprised of unaffected rent and which portion is comprised of affected rent. For this reason, the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent is dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the landlord was partially successful in this application, I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the \$100.00 filing fee paid for this application.

Page: 4

Conclusion

I grant an Order of Possession to the landlord effective **two days after service of this Order** on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed and enforced as an Order of the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

Pursuant to section 72 of the *Act*, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order in the amount of \$100.00 for the recovery of the filing fee for this application. The landlord is provided with this Order in the above terms and the tenant must be served with **this Order** as soon as possible. Should the tenant fail to comply with this Order, this Order may be filed in the Small Claims Division of the Provincial Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 20, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch