

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Devon properties and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy] **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> CNR-MT

Introduction

Pursuant to section 58 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act), I was designated to hear an application regarding the above-noted tenancy. The tenant applied for:

- cancellation of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities, pursuant to section 46; and
- an extension of the timeline for disputing the Notice, pursuant to section 66.

I left the teleconference connection open until 9:40 A.M. to enable the landlord to call into this teleconference hearing scheduled for 9:30 A.M. The landlord did not attend the hearing. The tenant attended the hearing and was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses. Witness DS also attended. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the tenant, her witness and I were the only ones who had called into this teleconference.

At the outset of the hearing the attending party affirmed she understands it is prohibited to record this hearing.

The tenant stated she served the application on March 03, 2021 by email. The email was not submitted into evidence. The tenant testified she has been using email to communicate with the landlord since May 2021.

Residential Tenancy Regulation 43(2) provides that:

For the purposes of section 89 (1) (f) [special rules for certain documents] of the Act, the documents described in section 89 (1) of the Act may be given to a person by emailing a copy to an email address provided as an address for service by the person.

Rule of Procedure 3.5 states:

Page: 2

3.5 Proof of service required at the dispute resolution hearing At the hearing, the applicant must be prepared to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the arbitrator that each respondent was served with the Notice of Dispute Resolution Proceeding Package and all evidence as required by the Act and these Rules of Procedure.

The tenant did not indicate the landlord provided her an email address for service of documents in March 2021. Furthermore, the tenant did not submit a proof that she sent the email with the application.

Thus, I find the respondent landlord was not served in accordance with the Act.

Conclusion

I dismiss the tenant's application with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 01, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch