

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding Neighbourhood Housing Society and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR MNR MNSD FF

Introduction

This hearing was convened as a result of the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution. A participatory hearing was held on June 10, 2021. The landlord applied for the following relief, pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "*Act*"):

- an order of possession based off a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy (the Notice) for unpaid rent or utilities; and,
- a monetary order for unpaid rent or utilities.

The Landlord attended the hearing and provided affirmed testimony. The tenant did not attend the hearing. The Landlord testified that she sent the application package, along with supporting evidence to the tenant on March 11, 2021, by registered mail. Proof of mailing was provided into evidence. I find the Tenant is deemed to have received this package on March 16, 2021, the fifth day after its registered mailing, pursuant to Section 90 of the *Act*.

The Landlord has requested to amend her application to include rent that has accrued since the original application date. I turn to the following Rules of Procedure (4.2):

Amending an application at the hearing

In circumstances that can reasonably be anticipated, such as when the amount of rent owing has increased since the time the Application for Dispute Resolution was made, the application may be amended at the hearing.

I hereby amend the Landlord's application accordingly.

Page: 2

The Landlord was provided the opportunity to present evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions to me. I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the Rules of Procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

Issue(s) to be Decided

- 1. Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent or utilities?
- 2. Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent or utilities?
- 3. Is the landlord authorized to retain all or a portion of the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary order requested, pursuant to section 38?
- 4. Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of this application?

Background and Evidence

The Landlord testified that this rental unit is part of a subsidized housing complex under the BC Housing umbrella. The Landlord stated that this tenancy started in 2015, and the Tenant is required to complete an annual income review as part of his tenancy, in order to establish what rent subsidy he receives. The Landlord stated there is a formula used, and it is dependent upon whether the Tenant is employed, or on social assistance, and how much their income is. The Landlord holds a security deposit of \$368.50.

The Landlord uploaded a ledger to show what the economic rent is for the Tenant's rental unit, which is what the fair market value is. However, using the annually calculated rental amount, the Tenant is required to pay less than this. Each year, the amount fluctuates and is effective on April 1 each year. The Landlord provided a detailed ledger of all payments and accruals dating back to October 2019. The Landlord stated that the Tenant has consistently paid a small fraction of what is owed, and the amounts are never on time, or in full.

As per the ledger and the testimony presented, the Landlord explained that as of January 1, 2021, the Tenant owed \$2,857.50. The Landlord issued the Notice on January 12, 2021, when they posted it to the Tenant's door for this amount. The Landlord stated that the Tenant did not pay rent in full, or file an application within the 5 day period. Only \$500.00 was paid nearly 10 days later. Following this, the rent continued to accrue faster than it was being paid off. The Landlord explained, and

Page: 3

presented a ledger to corroborate that the Tenant now owes \$7,048.50 in accrued rent as of June 1, 2021.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the unchallenged affirmed testimony and documentary evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

Section 26 of the *Act* confirms that a tenant must pay rent when it is due unless the tenant has a right under the *Act* to deduct all or a portion of rent. When a tenant does not pay rent when due, section 46(1) of the *Act* permits a landlord to end the tenancy by issuing a notice to end tenancy. A tenant who receives a notice to end tenancy under this section has five days, under section 46(4) of the *Act*, after receipt to either pay rent in full or dispute the notice by filing an application for dispute resolution. When a tenant does not pay rent in full or dispute the notice, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted the tenancy ends on the effective date of the notice, as per section 46(5) of the *Act*.

In this case, I find that the tenant owed past due rent at the time the Notice was issued. The landlord posted the Notice to the Tenant's door on January 12, 2021. Pursuant to section 88 and 90 of the Act, I find the Tenant received the Notice on January 15, 2021.

The Tenant had 5 days to pay rent <u>in full</u> or file an application for dispute resolution. Although the tenant made several partial payments outside of this window, the evidence before me indicates that the Tenant did not pay the total balance outstanding within 5 days of being served with the 10 Day Notice, nor did he dispute the Notice. As such, I find the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted the end of the tenancy, on the effective date of the notice. The Landlord is entitled to an order of possession, which will be effective two (2) days after it is served on the tenant.

Next, I turn to the Landlord's request for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent. After considering the evidence before me, as summarized in the Landlord's ledger, I find there is sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the tenant owes and has failed to pay \$7,048.50 in past due rent.

The Landlord requested that they be able to retain the security deposit of \$368.50 to offset the amount of rent owed, and to recover the \$100 filing fee for this application.

Section 72 of the *Act* gives me authority to order the repayment of a fee for an application for dispute resolution. Since the agent was substantially successful in this hearing, I order the tenant to repay the \$100. Also, pursuant to sections 72 of the *Act*, I authorize that the security deposit, currently held by the Landlord, be kept and used to offset the amount of rent still owed by the Tenant. In summary, I grant the monetary order based on the following:

Claim	Amount
Cumulative unpaid rent as above	\$7,048.50
Other:	• / • • • •
Filing fee	\$100.00
Less:	
Security Deposit currently held by Landlord	(\$368.50)
TOTAL:	\$6,780.00

Conclusion

The landlord is granted an order of possession effective **two days after service** on the tenant. This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and be enforced as an order of that Court.

The landlord is granted a monetary order pursuant to Section 67 in the amount of **\$6,780.00**. This order must be served on the tenant. If the tenant fails to comply with this order the landlord may file the order in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and be enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 10, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch