

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

A matter regarding PETER WALL YALETOWN and [tenant name suppressed to protect privacy]

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNDCL-S, FFL

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) for:

- a monetary order for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to retain all or a portion of the tenant's security deposit in partial satisfaction of the monetary order requested pursuant to section 38;
- authorization to recover its filing fee for this application from the tenant pursuant to section 72.

The landlord's agent (the landlord) attended the hearing via conference call and provided affirmed testimony.

The landlord was advised that the conference call hearing was scheduled for 60 minutes and pursuant to the Rules of Procedure, Rule 6.11 Recordings Prohibited that recording of this call is prohibited.

The landlord stated that the tenant was served with the notice of hearing package and the submitted documentary evidence via email as per substitute service order granted dated February 16, 2021. The landlord referred to a "screenshot" of a sent email for this package as confirmation of service.

I accept the undisputed affirmed evidence of the landlord and find that the tenant was served via email and is deemed sufficiently served as per section 90 of the Act.

Page: 2

Extensive discussions took place with the landlord's agent. At 35 minutes past the start of the scheduled hearing time, the landlord requested that the application be withdrawn. As such no further action is required at this time.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 10, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch