



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR-DR, OPRM-DR, FFL

This matter proceeded by way of an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “Act”) and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the Landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent and to recover the filing fee.

In an *ex parte* Direct Request Proceeding, the onus is on the landlord to ensure that all submitted evidentiary material is in accordance with the prescribed criteria and that such evidentiary material does not lend itself to ambiguity or give rise to issues that may need further clarification beyond the purview of a Direct Request Proceeding. If the landlord cannot establish that all documents meet the standard necessary to proceed via the Direct Request Proceeding, the application may be found to have deficiencies that necessitate a participatory hearing, or, in the alternative, the application may be dismissed.

Policy Guideline #39 provides guidance to landlords making an application for dispute resolution by Direct Request. It confirms the landlord must provide documentation which shows changes to the tenancy agreement or tenancy, such as rent increases, or changes to parties or their agents.

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I find that the name of the landlord as it appears on the tenancy agreement does not match the name of the Landlord named in the application. The Landlord did not submit documentation to confirm the Landlord is entitled to the relief sought in the application.

As this is an *ex parte* proceeding that does not allow for any clarification of the facts, I must be satisfied with the documentation presented.

Considering the above, I order that the Landlord’s requests for an order of possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent are dismissed with leave to reapply.

As the Landlord has not been successful, I order that the Landlord's request to recover the filing fee is dismissed without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 11, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch