



Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION ON APPLICATION FOR CORRECTION

Dispute Codes **RP, RR, MNDCT (primary application)**

PSF, MNDCT, OLC, RR, AS, LAT, LRE (second application)

This is the second request by the tenant for a correction of a final decision of the Residential Tenancy Branch dated June 9, 2021 ("decision").

The tenant first requested a correction of the decision on June 14, 2021 and I submitted a Decision on Application for Correction on June 18, 2021 denying the request.

The decision ordered the landlords to carry out an inspection of the unit, identify needed repairs, carry out the identified repairs, and report to the tenant.

Sections 78(1)(a) and (c) of the Residential Tenancy Act ("Act") enable the Residential Tenancy Branch to:

- correct typographic, grammatical, arithmetic or other similar errors in a decision or order, or
- deal with an obvious error or inadvertent omission in a decision or order.

The tenant requests a correction of a typographic error, an obvious error or an inadvertent omission or in my final decision.

In the Application for Correction, the tenant requested that reference to many aspects of the decision be "reworded and corrected". The tenant stated that he agreed "with the outcome for the most part..., but important things were left out and non-important things were mentioned".



Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

The tenant also requested that the first Application for Correction be ignored as his application was “done wrong and very important parts were left out”.

The tenant requested that many aspects of the decision be changed, such as:

- details should be added of the repairs needed to the unit
- the tenant objected to the referenced to challenges he has and denied saying anything “about having disabilities before living here”.
- the tenant wanted the wording of the decision changed about his fear regarding the occupant of another unit.
- the tenant wanted an addition to the decision to require a lock change.

The tenant’s second Application for Correction is substantially the same as the first Application and is submitted outside the 15-day period for such applications.

I find, as with the first Application for Correction, that my decision was based on a 55-minute hearing which resulted in a comprehensive 8-page decision which included a request that the Public Guardian be sent a copy of the decision, stating as follows:

I find I am unable to assess serious issues affecting the tenant. I direct the landlord to immediately provide a copy of this Decision and Order to the Public Guardian.

I instructed an Information Officer to inform the Public Guardian as well.

My decision was based on findings of fact which were made after consideration of the tenant’s evidence. I find the tenant’s second Application for Correction, as was the first Application, is an attempt to reargue the matter and to rephrase the decision. I find that none of the matters raised by the tenant in this Application relate to correction of a typographic error, an obvious error, or an inadvertent omission or in my final decision.



Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

I therefore deny the Application for Correction.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 13, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch