

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> CNL, FFT

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenants' application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* ("*Act*") for:

- cancellation of the landlord's Two Month Notice to End Tenancy for Landlord's Use of Property, dated March 25, 2021 ("2 Month Notice"), pursuant to section 49; and
- authorization to recover the filing fee for this application, pursuant to section 72.

While the respondent landlord attended the hearing by way of conference call, the applicant tenants did not, although I waited until 11:10 a.m. in order to enable the tenants to connect with this teleconference hearing scheduled for 11:00 a.m. I confirmed that the correct call-in numbers and participant codes had been provided in the Notice of Hearing. I also confirmed from the teleconference system that the landlord and I were the only people who called into this teleconference.

The landlord was given a full opportunity to be heard, to present affirmed testimony, to make submissions and to call witnesses.

Rule 6.11 of the Residential Tenancy Branch ("RTB") *Rules of Procedure* does not permit recording of this hearing by any party.

The landlord did not make any adjournment or accommodation requests.

The landlord confirmed receipt of the tenants' application for dispute resolution hearing package. In accordance with sections 89 and 90 of the *Act*, I find that the landlord was duly served with the tenants' application.

Page: 2

Pursuant to section 64(3)(c) of the *Act*, I amend the tenants' application to correct the spelling of the landlord's full name. The landlord consented to this amendment during the hearing. I find no prejudice to the tenants in making this amendment.

Preliminary Issue – Dismissal of Tenants' Application

Rule 7.3 of the RTB Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

7.3 Consequences of not attending the hearing: If a party or their agent fails to attend the hearing, the arbitrator may conduct the dispute resolution hearing in the absence of that party, or dismiss the application, with or without leave to reapply.

In the absence of any appearance by the tenants, I order the tenants' entire application dismissed without leave to reapply.

Analysis

Pursuant to section 55 of the *Act*, if I dismiss the tenants' application to cancel a 2 Month Notice, the landlord is entitled to an order of possession, provided that the notice meets the requirements of section 52 of the *Act*.

The landlord stated that he did not require an order of possession because the tenants vacated the rental unit. I notified the landlord that I would not issue an order of possession to him. The landlord confirmed his understanding of same.

Conclusion

The tenants' entire application is dismissed without leave to reapply. The landlord is not entitled to an order of possession against the tenants.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 15, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch