

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSD, RPP, MNDCT

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with the applicant's application pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the *Act*) for:

- a monetary order for compensation for damage or loss under the *Act*, regulation or tenancy agreement pursuant to section 67;
- authorization to obtain a return of all or a portion of the security deposit pursuant to section 38; and
- an order requiring the landlord to return the tenant's personal property pursuant to section 65.

At the outset of the hearing, I explained to the parties that as these hearings were teleconferences, the parties could not see each other, so to ensure an efficient, respectful hearing, this would rely on each party taking a turn to have their say. As such, when one party is talking, I asked that the other party not interrupt or respond unless prompted by myself. Furthermore, if a party had an issue with what had been said, they were advised to make a note of it and when it was their turn, they would have an opportunity to address these concerns. The parties were also informed that recording of the hearing was prohibited and they were reminded to refrain from doing so. All parties acknowledged these terms.

As well, all parties in attendance provided a solemn affirmation. All parties acknowledged the evidence submitted and were given an opportunity to be heard, to present sworn testimony, and to make submissions. I explained the hearing and settlement processes to both parties. Both parties had an opportunity to ask questions. Both parties confirmed that they were ready to proceed with the hearing, they did not want to settle this application, and they wanted me to make a decision regarding this application. Neither party made any adjournment or accommodation requests. I have reviewed all oral and written submissions before me; however, only the

evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

Preliminary Issue -Does the Branch have jurisdiction to hear this matter?

After some preliminary discussions and giving each party an opportunity to explain the mechanics of this arrangement, both parties confirmed that the respondent is the owner of the home and that the applicant shared a kitchen and bathroom with the respondent during their stay in the home.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 4 of the Act addresses the issue before me as follows:

What this Act does not apply to

4 This Act does not apply to

(c)living accommodation in which the tenant shares bathroom or kitchen facilities with the owner of that accommodation,

Based on the above, I am unable to hear this matter as I do not have the jurisdiction to do so.

Conclusion

I decline to hear the applicants' application as I do not have jurisdiction to hear the application as the *RTA* does not apply to this matter.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 05, 2021

Residential Tenancy Branch